

**Miller, Owen and Trost Rail Acquisition Services**  
**Expenditures by Consultant**  
Through July 31, 2004

	<b>Initial Budget</b>	<b>Current Budget</b>	<b>Spent as of 7/31/04</b>	<b>Remaining</b>	<b>Funding Source</b>
<b>Main Consultant</b>					
- Miller, Owen & Trost (MOT)	\$231,000	\$203,190	\$179,905	\$19,027	TDA <sup>7</sup>
<b>Subconsultants</b>					
- Kirkpatrick and Lockhart <sup>1</sup>			\$1,155		
- Railroad Associates, Inc. <sup>1</sup>			\$0		
- R. L. Banks & Associates <sup>1</sup>			\$3,104		
- Arthur Gimmy International <sup>1</sup>			\$0		
- Alta Transportation Consulting <sup>2</sup>	\$5,000	\$45,109	\$45,109	\$0	TDA <sup>7</sup>
- North Pacific Coast Company <sup>3</sup>		\$700	\$700	\$0	TDA <sup>7</sup>
- Gary R. Anglemeyer <sup>4</sup>		\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0	TDA <sup>7</sup>
- Systra Consulting, Inc. <sup>5</sup>		\$55,000	\$31,282	\$23,718	Prop 116 <sup>8</sup>
- Remy, Thomas, Moose & Manley <sup>6</sup>		\$15,000	\$0	\$15,000	Prop 116 <sup>9</sup>
<b>Subconsultant Total</b>	<b>\$5,000</b>	<b>\$120,810</b>	<b>\$86,350</b>	<b>\$38,718</b>	
<b>Reimbursable Costs</b>	<b>\$15,000</b>	<b>\$8,000</b>	<b>\$6,186</b>	<b>\$1,814</b>	<b>TDA<sup>7</sup></b>
<b>Contingency</b>	<b>\$11,000</b>				<b>TDA<sup>7</sup></b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$262,000</b>	<b>\$332,000</b>	<b>\$272,440</b>	<b>\$59,560</b>	
<b>Contract Expiration</b>	<b>12/31/2002</b>	<b>3/31/2005</b>			

<sup>1</sup> Funds were not specifically budgeted to these subconsultants. Their costs are covered by funds budgeted to the main consultant.

<sup>2</sup> Funds were added for this subconsultant in January 2002 and October 2002 from contingency funds and the MOT budget for production of the *Santa Cruz Branch Line Economic Analysis Summary Report* and the *Santa Cruz Branch Line Intra-County Recreational Rail Options Preliminary Analysis*

<sup>3</sup> This subconsultant was not in the original proposal and was added in May 2001. Contingency funds were used to cover the costs.

<sup>4</sup> This subconsultant was not in the original proposal and was added in March 2002. Contingency and reimbursable costs funds were used to cover the costs.

<sup>5</sup> This subconsultant was not in the original proposal. Proposition 116 funds were added in March 2004 to the contract to cover costs for this subconsultant.

<sup>6</sup> This subconsultant was not in the original proposal. Proposition 116 funds were added in August 2004 to the contract to cover costs for this subconsultant.

<sup>7</sup> The Commission allocated the TDA funds to this contract on March 1, 2001.

<sup>8</sup> The Commission allocated the Proposition 116 funds to this contract on March 18, 2004.

<sup>9</sup> The Commission allocated the Proposition 116 funds to this contract on August 19, 2004.

General Note: Some of the calculations may seem incorrect due to rounding to the nearest dollar.

This attachment was provided with a staff report for the April 2004 TPW meeting and has been updated to include the most recent information available.