

Miller, Owen and Trost Rail Acquisition Services
Expenditures by Consultant
Through May 31, 2005

	Initial Budget	Current Budget	Spent as of 5/31/05	Unspent Funds	Funding Source
Main Consultant					
- Miller, Owen & Trost (MOT) ¹	\$231,000	\$323,190	\$287,754	\$18,925	TDA ¹¹ , Fed Ear
Subconsultants					
- Kirkpatrick and Lockhart ²			\$13,407		
- Railroad Associates, Inc. ²			\$0		
- R. L. Banks & Associates ²			\$3,104		
- Arthur Gimmy International ²					
- Alta Transportation Consulting ³	\$5,000	\$45,109	\$45,109	\$0	TDA ¹¹
- North Pacific Coast Company ⁴		\$25,700	\$700	\$25,000	TDA ¹¹ Fed Ear
- Gary R. Anglemeyer ⁵		\$5,000	\$5,000	\$0	TDA ¹¹
- Systra Consulting, Inc. ⁶		\$59,843	\$59,843	\$0	Prop 116
- Remy, Thomas, Moose & Manley ⁷		\$35,000	\$12,514	\$22,486	Prop 116
- Systra/Biggs Cardosa ⁸		\$80,000	\$0	\$80,000	Fed Ear
- First American Title Company ⁹		\$47,000	\$35,000	\$12,000	Fed Ear
- The Woodside Group ¹⁰		\$2,000	\$18,492	-\$16,492	Fed Ear
Subconsultant Total	\$5,000	\$299,652	\$193,170	\$122,994	
Reimbursable Costs	\$15,000	\$11,157	\$9,580	\$1,577	TDA¹¹, Fed Ear
Contingency	\$11,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	TDA¹¹
Total	\$262,000	\$634,000	\$490,504	\$143,496	

¹ Funds used for all main consultant work and some subconsultant work. \$130,000 in Fed Earmark added in Sep 2004.

² Funds were not specifically budgeted to this subconsultant. The costs are covered by funds budgeted to the main consultant.

³ Funds added for this subconsultant in Jan 2002 and Oct 2002 from contingency funds and the MOT budget for production of the *Santa Cruz Branch Line Economic Analysis Summary Report* and the *Santa Cruz Branch Line Intra-County Recreational Rail Options Preliminary Analysis*

⁴ Not in original proposal; added in May 2001; Contingency funds used to cover costs initially; selected for the lease investigation and Fed. Earmark funds were allocated in September 2004 for this task.

⁵ Not in original proposal; added in March 2002; Contingency and reimbursable costs funds used to cover the costs.

⁶ Not in original proposal; RTC added Proposition 116 funds in March 2004 to cover Business Plan production costs for this subconsultant.

⁷ Not in original proposal; RTC added Proposition 116 funds in August 2004 to cover this subconsultant cost for EIR legal review.

⁸ Not in original proposal; RTC added Fed. Earmark funds in Aug 2004 and Jan 2005 to cover a structural assessment by subconsultant.

⁹ Not in original proposal; RTC added Fed. Earmark funds in Dec 2004 for a title review by subconsultant.

¹⁰ Not in original proposal; RTC added Fed. Earmark funds in Dec 2004 for a title review by subconsultant.

¹¹ The RTC allocated TDA funds to this contract on March 1, 2001.

General Note: Some of the calculations may seem incorrect due to rounding to the nearest dollar.