AGENDA

Thursday, August 6, 2015
9:00 a.m.

NOTE LOCATION THIS MONTH
Scotts Valley City Council Chambers
1 Civic Center Drive
Scotts Valley, CA

NOTE
See the last page for details about access for people with disabilities and meeting broadcasts.

En Español
Para información sobre servicios de traducción al español, diríjase a la última página.

AGENDAS ONLINE
To receive email notification when the RTC meeting agenda packet is posted on our website, please call (831) 460-3200 or email info@sccrtc.org to subscribe.

COMMISSION MEMBERSHIP

Caltrans (ex-officio)                Tim Gubbins
City of Capitola                    Dennis Norton
City of Santa Cruz                  Don Lane
City of Scotts Valley               Randy Johnson
City of Watsonville                 Jimmy Dutra
County of Santa Cruz                Greg Caput
County of Santa Cruz                Ryan Coonerty
County of Santa Cruz                Zach Friend
County of Santa Cruz                John Leopold
County of Santa Cruz                Bruce McPherson
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District  Karina Cervantez
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District  Cynthia Chase
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District  Ed Bottorff
1. Roll call

2. Oral communications

Any member of the public may address the Commission for a period not to exceed three minutes on any item within the jurisdiction of the Commission that is not already on the agenda. The Commission will listen to all communication, but in compliance with State law, may not take action on items that are not on the agenda.

Speakers are requested to sign the sign-in sheet so that their names can be accurately recorded in the minutes of the meeting.

3. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas

CONSENT AGENDA

All items appearing on the consent agenda are considered to be minor or non-controversial and will be acted upon in one motion if no member of the RTC or public wishes an item be removed and discussed on the regular agenda. Members of the Commission may raise questions, seek clarification or add directions to Consent Agenda items without removing the item from the Consent Agenda as long as no other Commissioner objects to the change.

MINUTES

4. Approve draft minutes of the June 4, 2015 Regional Transportation Commission meeting

5. Accept draft minutes of the June 4, 2015 Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies meeting

6. Approve draft minutes of the June 8, 2015 Bicycle Advisory Committee meeting

7. Approve draft minutes of the June 9, 2015 Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee meeting

8. Accept draft minutes of the June 18, 2015 Interagency Technical Advisory Committee meeting

9. Accept draft minutes of the June 25, 2015 Transportation Policy Workshop meeting

POLICY ITEMS

No consent items

PROJECTS and PLANNING ITEMS

No consent items
BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES ITEMS

10. Accept status report on Transportation Development Act (TDA) revenues
11. Approve Amendment #3 of the Central Coast Coalition MOU (Resolution)

ADMINISTRATION ITEMS

12. Approve Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E&D TAC) Appointments
13. Approve out of state travel authorization

INFORMATION/OTHER ITEMS

14. Accept monthly meeting schedule
15. Accept correspondence log
16. Accept letters from RTC committees and staff to other agencies
   a. Letter to the County of Santa Cruz Public Works Department regarding the County of Santa Cruz’s rail-with-trail grant application from the RTC Bicycle Advisory Committee
   b. Letter to Caltrans District 5 regarding the City of Santa Cruz grant application for the Bay Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Project from the RTC Bicycle Advisory Committee
   c. Letter to RTC Commissioners regarding the recommendation for the development of a Santa Cruz Yacht Harbor Transportation Plan from the RTC Bicycle Advisory Committee
   d. Letter to RTC Commissioners regarding the request for the Bicycle Advisory Committee’s 40th year anniversary celebration from the RTC Bicycle Advisory Committee
17. Accept miscellaneous written comments from the public on RTC projects and transportation issues
18. Accept information items – None

REGULAR AGENDA

19. Commissioner reports – oral reports
20. Director’s Report – oral report  
   (George Dondero, Executive Director)

21. Caltrans report and consider action items
   a. District Director’s Report
   b. Caltrans Project Update in Santa Cruz County
   c. Highway 17 Access Management Plan Summary

22. Federal Land Grants Application (FLAP) for a north coast rail trail segment of the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail (MBSST) Network  
   (Cory Caletti and Ginger Dykaar, Senior Transportation Planner)
   a. Staff report
   b. Resolution to commit $300,000 in additional matching funds towards a Federal Lands Access Program (FLAP) grant and to accept the FLAP grant award

23. State Legislative Update  
   (George Dondero, Executive Director)
   a. Staff report
   b. CalCOG Policy Brief on Transportation Funding Update in Extraordinary Legislative Session

24. Commuter Committee  
   (Luis Mendez, Deputy Director)
   a. Staff report

25. Review of items to be discussed in closed session

CLOSED SESSION

26. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-ANTICIPATED LITIGATION. Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9: one case

27. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL-ANTICIPATED LITIGATION. Initiation of litigation pursuant to paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9: one case

OPEN SESSION

28. Report on closed session
29. Next meetings

The next RTC meeting is scheduled for Thursday, September 3, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. at the County Board of Supervisors Chambers, 701 Ocean Street, 5th floor, Santa Cruz, CA.

The next Transportation Policy Workshop meeting is scheduled for Thursday, August 20, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. at the Watsonville City Council Chambers, 275 Main Street, Suite 400, Watsonville, CA.

HOW TO REACH US

Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
1523 Pacific Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA 95060
phone: (831) 460-3200/fax: (831) 460-3215

Watsonville Office
275 Main Street, Suite 450, Watsonville, CA 95076
phone: (831) 768-8012
email: info@sccrtc.org / website: www.sccrtc.org

HOW TO STAY INFORMED ABOUT RTC MEETINGS, AGENDAS & NEWS

Broadcasts: Many of the meetings are broadcast live. Meetings are cablecast by Community Television of Santa Cruz. Community TV’s channels and schedule can be found online (www.communitytv.org) or by calling (831) 425-8848.

Agenda packets: Complete agenda packets are available at the RTC office, on the RTC website (www.sccrtc.org), and at the following public libraries:

- Aptos Library
- Boulder Creek Library
- Branciforte Library
- Capitola Library
- Felton Library
- Garfield Park Library
- La Selva Beach Library
- Live Oak Library
- Santa Cruz Downtown Library
- Scotts Valley Library
- Watsonville Main Library

For information regarding library locations and hours, please check online at www.santacruzpl.org or www.watsonville.lib.ca.us.

On-line viewing: The SCCRTC encourages the reduction of paper waste and therefore makes meeting materials available online. Those receiving paper agendas may sign up to receive email notification when complete agenda packet materials are posted to our website by sending a request to info@sccrtc.org. Agendas are typically posted 5 days prior to each meeting.

Newsletters: To sign up for E-News updates on specific SCCRTC projects, go to http://sccrtc.org/about/esubscriptions/. 
HOW TO REQUEST

❖ ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES

The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission does not discriminate on the basis of disability and no person shall, by reason of a disability, be denied the benefits of its services, programs, or activities. This meeting location is an accessible facility. If you wish to attend this meeting and require special assistance in order to participate, please contact RTC staff at 460-3200 (CRS 800/735-2929) at least three working days in advance of this meeting to make arrangements. People with disabilities may request a copy of the agenda in an alternative format. As a courtesy to those persons affected, Please attend the meeting smoke and scent-free.

❖ SERVICIOS DE TRADUCCIÓN/ TRANSLATION SERVICES

Si gusta estar presente o participar en esta junta de la Comisión Regional de Transporte del Condado de Santa Cruz y necesita información o servicios de traducción al español por favor llame por lo menos con tres días laborables de anticipo al (831) 460-3200 para hacer los arreglos necesarios. (Spanish language translation is available on an as needed basis.) Please make advance arrangements (at least three days in advance) by calling (831) 460-3200.

TITLE VI NOTICE TO BENEFICIARIES

The RTC operates its programs and services without regard to race, color and national origin in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act. Any person believing to have been aggrieved by the RTC under Title VI may file a complaint with RTC by contacting the RTC at (831) 460-3212 or 1523 Pacific Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA 95112 or online at www.sccrtc.org. A complaint may also be filed directly with the Federal Transit Administration to the Office of Civil Rights, Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor-TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington, DC 20590.
1. Roll call

The meeting was called to order at 9:06 a.m.

Members present:
- Karina Cervantez
- Cynthia Chase
- Ed Bottorff
- Zach Friend
- Don Lane
- Jimmy Dutra
- Greg Caput
- John Leopold
- Ryan Coonerty
- Aileen Loe (ex-officio)
- Dennis Norton
- Randy Johnson

Staff Present:
- George Dondero
- Karena Pushnik
- Luis Mendez
- Rachel Moriconi
- Yesenia Parra
- Ginger Dykaar
- Jennifer Rodriguez
- Cory Caletti

2. Oral communications

Lowell Hurst, Watsonville City Council, thanked Caltrans for fixing roads in Watsonville and mentioned that a tourist was recently amazed that Santa Cruz County has working railroad with economic possibilities due to its connection to the outside world.

William Menchine, said the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail master plan and the Rail Feasibility Study document should be merged as one plan.

3. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas

A replacement page for Item 10 and two handouts for Item 16 were distributed.
CONSENT AGENDA

Commissioner Lane moved and Commissioner Coonerty seconded the consent agenda. The motion passed unanimously with Commissioners Cervantez, Johnson, Lane, Coonerty, Chase, Dutra, Leopold, Bottorff, Caput, Friend, and Norton voting “aye”.

MINUTES

4. Approved draft minutes of the May 7, 2015 Regional Transportation Commission meeting

5. Approved draft minutes of the May 21, 2015 Transportation Policy Workshop meeting

POLICY ITEMS

No consent items

PROJECTS and PLANNING ITEMS

6. Received information on the Unified Corridors Investment Study – Phase 1 Update

BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES ITEMS

7. Accepted status report on Transportation Development Act (TDA) revenues

8. Approved amendments to the Fiscal Year 2014-15 Budget and Work Program (Resolution 29-15)

ADMINISTRATION ITEMS

9. Approved Executive Director’s Employment Agreement

INFORMATION/OTHER ITEMS

10. Accepted monthly meeting schedule

11. Accepted correspondence log

12. Accepted letters from RTC committees and staff to other agencies

   a. Letter to Caltrans regarding City of Santa Cruz Active Transportation Program Grant Application for Branciforte Creek Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge from the RTC Bicycle Advisory Committee

   b. Letter to Caltrans regarding City of Watsonville Active Transportation Program Grant Application for Rail Trail Walker Street Project from the RTC Bicycle Advisory Committee
c. Letter to Caltrans regarding RTC Active Transportation Program Grant Application for Santa Cruz County Bicycle Route Signage Program from the RTC Bicycle Advisory Committee

13. Accepted miscellaneous written comments from the public on RTC projects and transportation issues

14. Accept information items

None

REGULAR AGENDA

15. Commissioner reports – oral reports

None


George Dondero, Executive Director, reported that the Cruz511 website is now live and a demonstration would be given at an RTC meeting in the fall. The Monterey Bay Scenic Sanctuary Trail (MBSST) Planning Award from the American Trails Organization was passed around for the Commissioners to view. Mr. Dondero noted that Jeff Weeks, General Manager for Iowa Pacific, accepted a position with Caltrain and thanked Mr. Weeks for his work with the RTC. He also said that Brett Wallace is now the General Manager and the RTC contact. Mr. Dondero also reported that President Obama signed a two-month federal transportation funding extension; and noted some RTC projects that align with National Safety Month.

17. Caltrans report and consider action items

Aileen Loe stated that in 2014 Caltrans reduced their water consumption by 32% and that Caltrans is working to develop a Class 4 bike ways plan that includes guidelines.

18. Appreciation for completed murals on Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line

Luis Mendez, Deputy Director, thanked Arturo Tomei, Steven Allen, John Ton and Mya Negre for their mural work along the Santa Cruz and Monterey Bay rail line in Capitola and Aptos. Mr. Mendez noted that murals deter graffiti, which is a big concern along the rail line property.

Commissioners extended their appreciation of the murals and the significance of the depicted images, and commended the commission and community members for beautifying the community. Commissioner Norton said that the City of Capitola is interested in extending the Capitola mural onto the railroad bridge.
Commissioner Friend presented certificates to Arturo Tomei and Steven Allen for the Aptos mural. Commissioner Norton presented certificates to John Ton and Mya Negre for the Capitola mural.

**John Ton**, Capitola mural artist, stated that murals are a great deterrent to graffiti. He also presented proposed images for the railroad bridge in Capitola.

Chair Leopold called for a break at 9:47 a.m.

The commission reconvened at 10:03 a.m.

19. Draft Passenger Rail Feasibility Study

George Dondero, Executive Director, presented the staff report. He said that the final document will be available in the fall after all public comments are compiled.

Mr. Dondero introduced consultant team members Bob Grandy, Steve, Crosley and Buzz Burger. The consultant team gave a PowerPoint presentation summarizing the feasibility study findings, highlighting the status of the study, and describing and clarifying options from an engineering stand point.

Commissioner Cervantez left the meeting.

Commissioners discussed: the feasibility study estimated costs, ridership forecasts, hybrid studies, agency comparisons, quiet zones, safety, environmental impact cost, capital cost, Federal Rail Administration compliance, options of building in phases, impacts of alternative technologies, community outreach, fare options, funding and the importance of including service to the City of Watsonville.

**Bud Colligan**, Santa Cruz resident, stated his concerns on: costs for rail operations, climate change, CO2 emissions, the viability of commuter options on the bike path proposed, and the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail (MBSST) master plan. He asked that RTC staff explore issues and understand economic, environmental, and commute benefits of a trail only option; and understand viability vs. feasibility and that the RTC consider rail banking.

**Heather Adamson**, Association of Monterey Bay Area Government (AMBAG) staff, clarified that the demand model is a 3 county model, which is updated every 5 years.

**Cliff Walters**, Roaring Camp, communicated that Roaring Camp would like to continue services to the Boardwalk, and noted that some expenses in the plan may not be quite as stated.

**Paul Elerick**, Campaign for Sensible Transportation, supports rail service and a sales tax measure.
**Brian Peoples**, Aptos Rail Trail, said he’s not sure if the plan is feasible, and is concerned about the speed of the train going through communities.

**Rick Longinotti**, wants the feasibility study to include electrification on the rail line because it’s quieter and reduces pollution. He said the diesel trains are dependent on fuel sources that will rise in price.

**Eli Puglizevich**, Seacliff resident, is concerned with the noise level when the trains honk at rail crossings.

**Steve Hill**, Vice President of Engineering for Iowa Pacific, stated that Iowa Pacific could provide more reasonable and cost effective service as stated in Scenario S. He also noted that they are very interested in continued efforts in the development of freight service.

**Nancy Bilicich**, Mayor of Watsonville, supports Scenario G and said that the City of Watsonville needs to be included from the start of the project in order for the city to give its support. The roads in Watsonville need repair and there is a need for funding and alternative transportation.

**Lowell Hurst**, Watsonville City Council, said that while there are many unknowns, the RTC should be brave and think big.

**Barry Scott**, Rio del Mar resident, said the RTC needs to keep in mind that the rail corridor is a piece of a bigger transportation system that could link with other transportation corridors and should keep in mind the costs of not having this rail corridor. He also noted that rail banking is not a secure option.

**Trina Coffman-Gomez**, Watsonville City Council, stated that rail transportation alternatives to Watsonville will provide relief on highways and supports the project but it must include Watsonville.

**Ronald Caplan**, Seascape resident, said his commute to Scotts Valley via Soquel Avenue is comparable to a Highway 1 commute. The rail option is needed and will help connect to other transportation options. The cost is also 15% less than widening Highway 1.

Commissioners directed RTC staff to extend the comment period until July 31st, 2015. Karena Pushnick, Senior Transportation Planner invited all to the Rail Study Open House being held this evening starting at 6:30 pm at the Simpkins Swim Center in Live Oak.

20. The meeting adjourned to a special meeting of the Service Authority for Freeway Emergencies at 12:21 p.m.
Next meetings

The next RTC meeting is scheduled for Thursday, August 6, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. at the Scotts Valley City Council Chambers, 1 Civic Center Drive, Scotts Valley, CA.

A special meeting of the Transportation Policy Workshop is scheduled for Thursday, June 25, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. at the City of Santa Cruz Council Chambers, 809 Center Street, Santa Cruz, CA.

Respectfully submitted,

Yesenia Parra, Staff

Attendees:
Heather Adamson Association of Monterey Bay Area Government
Lowell Hurst City of Watsonville
Trina Coffman-Gomez City of Watsonville
Dr. Nancy A. Bilicich City of Watsonville
Murray Fontes City of Watsonville
Angela Aitken Santa Cruz Metro
Kimberly Ferm Pajaro Valley Shelter Services
Paul Elerick Campaign for Sensible Transportation
Donald Miller Valley Heights resident
Cliff Waters Roaring Camp Railroads
Barry Scott Rio del Mar resident
Eli Puglitevich Seaciff resident
Ursula Puglitevich Seaciff resident
Buzz Burger Fehr & Peers
Steve Crosley Fehr & Peers
Bob Grandy Fehr & Peers
Brian Peoples Aptos resident
Steve Hill Iowa Pacific
Ronald Caplan Seascape resident
Bud Colligan Santa Cruz resident
John Ton
Mya Negre
Arturo Tomei
Steven Allen
Brandon Kett
Eric Child
Trevor Park
William Menchine
Rick Longinotti
The meeting convened at 12:22 p.m., immediately following the completion of the regular RTC meeting.

Members present:
Cynthia Chase  Zach Friend
Ed Bottorff    Randy Johnson
Don Lane       Jimmy Dutra
Greg Caput     Dennis Norton
Ryan Coonerty  Aileen Loe (ex-officio)

1. Oral communications - None

2. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas - None

    CONSENT AGENDA

   No consent items.

    REGULAR AGENDA


   Transportation Planner Ginger Dykaar presented the report and introduced Lieutenant Brian Hawkins to answer any questions.

   Commissioners expressed appreciation for the report and the success of the Safe on 17 program.

4. Adjourned at 12:37 p.m.

   Respectfully submitted

   Jennifer Rodriguez, Staff
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s

BICYCLE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes - Draft
Monday, June 8, 2015
6:00 p.m. to 8:30 pm

RTC Office
1523 Pacific Ave
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

1. Call to Order: 6 pm

2. Introductions

**Members Present:**
Kem Akol, District 1
Peter Scott, District 3
Will Menchine, District 3 (Alt.)
Amelia Conlen, District 4
Bill Fieberling, City of Santa Cruz
Andy Ward, City of Capitola
Daniel Kostelec, City of Capitola (Alt.)
Leo Jed, CTSC, Vice-Chair
Emily Glanville, Ecology Action/Bike to Work

**Unexcused Absences:**
Lex Rau, City of Scotts Valley

**Excused Absences:**
Gary Milburn, City of Scotts Valley (Alt.)
Holly Tyler, District 1 (Alt.)
David Casterson, District 2, Chair
Jim Cook, District 2 (Alt.)
Rick Hyman, District 5
Melissa Ott, City of Santa Cruz
Myrna Sherman, City of Watsonville
Piet Canin, Ecology Action/Bike-to-Work (Alt.)
Jim Langley, CTSC (Alt.)

**Vacancies:**
District 4 and 5 – Alternates
City of Watsonville – Alternate

**Guests:**
Michelle Shippen, Community member

3. Announcements – Cory Caletti provided an update on the RTC’s application to the Federal Lands Access Program for a north coast rail trail project; the adopted Santa Cruz County Bike Route Signage Program and Active Transportation Program (ATP) grant application; another recent award of excellence for the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail (MBSST) Network Master Plan; the County’s TIGER and ATP grant applications for a Live Oak rail trail project; and Watsonville’s ATP grant application to complete the City of Watsonville’s rail trail project.
4. Oral communications – Amelia Conlen reported that the City of Capitola will be considering allocating Capital Improvement Program funding for green bike lanes at three interchanges. A request was made to agendize a future discussion on green lane treatments.

5. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas – None. Comments included acknowledgements of Rick Hyman’s well crafted comments on the Unified Corridor Plan and Ecology Action’s Bike Smart! South Santa Cruz County Youth Safety Training. A request was made for staff to inquire further into a hazard report that was submitted on 4/18/15 by Piet Canin.

CONSENT AGENDA

A motion (Scott/Conlen) to approve the consent agenda passed unanimously with members Akol, Scott, Conlen, Fieberling, Ward, Jed and Glanville voting in favor. No votes were cast in opposition.

6. Accepted draft minutes of the April 13, 2015 Bicycle Advisory Committee meeting

7. Accepted summary of Bicycle Hazard reports

8. Accepted Bicycle Advisory Committee roster

9. Accepted Comments on the Unified Corridors Plan submitted by Committee member Rick Hyman

10. Accepted Ecology Action’s Bike Smart! South Santa Cruz County Youth Safety Training Final Report

11. Accepted letter from the Bicycle Advisory Committee to Caltrans in support of the City of Watsonville’s Active Transportation Program grant application for the Walker Street rail trail project

12. Accepted letter from the Bicycle Advisory Committee to Caltrans in support of the City of Santa Cruz’s Active Transportation Program grant application for the Branciforte Creek bicycle and pedestrian bridge project

13. Accepted letter from the Bicycle Advisory Committee to Caltrans in support of the RTC’s Active Transportation Program grant application for the Santa Cruz County Bike Route Signage Program

14. Accepted letter from the Bicycle Advisory Committee in support of the County of Santa Cruz’s TIGER grant application for the Live Oak rail trail project

REGULAR AGENDA

15. Cruz511 Traveler Information Service – A presentation was provided by Nathan Luedtke, RTC staff with the assistance of Tegan Speiser, RTC Senior Transportation Planner, on the launch of the Cruz511 traveler information service and available resources. Members commended staff and provided feedback on possible improvements for mobile devices, content reduction and easier user interface.

16. Draft Passenger Rail Feasibility Study - Rachel Moriconi, RTC Senior Transportation Planner, provided a presentation on the draft study, asked for feedback and indicated that the public comment period has been extended to July 31st, 2015. Bike related priorities include bike access on trains; bike parking at stations including racks, lockers and possibly bike cages; and concerns
regarding possible negative impacts to implementation of the rail trail project. Members also had questions regarding ridership numbers and requested that staff bring a report to a future meeting summarizing public comments received and the subsequent changes made to the plan as a result, as well as additional info on how ridership projections were derived.

17. Santa Cruz Harbor and vicinity destinations- Ad-hoc committee member Kem Akol (on behalf of the committee comprised of himself, Scott, Fieberling and Menchine) provided a summary of concerns related to intermittent bike access within the Santa Cruz Harbor area and presented recommendations. After some discussion, a motion was made (Akol/Scott) to request that the RTC pursue development of a Santa Cruz Yacht Harbor transportation study specifically identifying bicycle and pedestrian interconnectivity improvements and two-way access to surrounding destinations including Arana Gulch, the City’s bike lanes on Murray Street, the future rail trail, and Twin Lakes State Beach. The ad-hoc committee recommended that the RTC initiate this study as it’s seen as a regional issue that would unify the 3 jurisdictions of the Port District, the City of Santa Cruz and the County of Santa Cruz. The motion passed unanimously with members Akol, Scott, Conlen, Fieberling, Ward, Jed and Glanville voting in favor. No votes were cast in opposition.

18. Member updates related to Committee functions – Various updates on minor projects were provided. A discussion was held regarding the Bicycle Advisory Committee’s 40th anniversary and its evolution through various governmental departments and many accomplishments. A motion was made (Akol/Ward) to request that the RTC host an event in celebration of this long-standing committee in order to acknowledge 1) decades of bicycle advocacy and engagement, 2) the RTC’s commitment to improving non-motorized transportation by dedicating staff resources to the Committee, 3) long list of accomplishments, 4) past staff and, 5) long-standing members who’ve dedicated countless volunteer hours. The motion passed unanimously with members Akol, Scott, Conlen, Fieberling, Ward, Jed and Glanville voting in favor. No votes were cast in opposition.

19. Adjourned – 8:30 p.m.

NEXT MEETING: The next Bicycle Committee meeting is scheduled for Monday, August 10, 2015, from 6:00 pm to 8:30 pm at the RTC office, 1523 Pacific Ave, Santa Cruz, CA.

Minutes respectfully prepared and submitted by:

Cory Caletti, Senior Transportation Planner
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission

Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee

Draft Minutes

Tuesday, June 9, 2015

Regional Transportation Commission Office
1523 Pacific Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA 95060

1. Call to Order

2. Introductions

**Members Present:**
- Kirk Ance, CTSA, Community Bridges, LiftLine
- Lisa Berkowitz, CTSA
- John Daugherty, Metro Transit
- Veronica Elsea, 3rd District
- Clay Kempf, Social Service Provider for Seniors
- Cara Lamb, Potential Transit User

**Excused Absences:**
- Sally French, Social Services Provider - Disabled
- Patti Lou Shevlin, 1st District

**Unexcused Absences:**
- Debbi Brooks, Social Service Provider - Persons Of Limited Means
- Michael Molesky, Social Service Provider - Disabled

**Alternates Present:**
- Brent Gifford, 1st District
- Charlie Levine, 3rd District
- Donna Patters, Volunteer Center

**Others Present:**
- Pam Arnsberger, 2nd District

**RTC Staff Present:**
- Cathy Judd
- Karena Pushnik

3. Oral Communications

- Santa Cruz County Budget Hearings to be held June 15th at 7:30 at City of Watsonville Council Chambers and on June 16th at the County Board of Supervisors Chambers. Members were encouraged to participate in the discussion about funding for social services to seniors and people with disabilities.

- The Seniors Council is conducting a Senior Needs Assessment for people over 60 living in Santa Cruz or San Benito Counties. The survey is available online at seniorscouncil.org. Hard copies of the survey are available through the Seniors Council.

- Metro offers personalized Accessible Services to help clients use the bus and ParaCruz. The current Metro Headways including large print version was made available.

4. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agenda - None
CONSENT AGENDA

Action: The motion (Daugherty/Kempf) - - to approve the consent agenda with an amendment to note Kirk Ance as an excused absence for the April 14, 2015 E&D TAC meeting - - carries.

Ayes: Lisa Berkowitz, John Daugherty, Veronica Elsea, Clay Kempf, Cara Lamb
Nays: None
Abstain: Kirk Ance

5. Approved minutes from April 14, 2015 meeting

6. Received E&D TAC letter supporting City of Scotts Valley Town Center ‘Active Transportation Program’ grant application

7. Received E&D TAC letter supporting City of Santa Cruz Branciforte Creek ‘Active Transportation Program’ grant application

8. Received Final Unmet Paratransit and Transit Needs

9. Received FY13/14 FTA 5310 Grant Award Information

10. Received resignation from Norm Hagen

11. Received Transportation Development Act Revenues Report

12. Received RTC Meeting Highlights

13. Received Information Item

14. Received Agency Updates
   a. Volunteer Center
   b. Community Bridges
      i. 2nd Quarter FY 14/15 TDA Report
   c. Santa Cruz Metro
      i. ParaCruz Report
      ii. SCMTD Board Items

REGULAR AGENDA

15. Receive Committee Recruitment Results and Make Recommendation – Karena Pushnik, Senior RTC Planner, discussed the recent recruitment outreach for members to serve on the E&D TAC. The goal is to have a full committee with social service providers and representatives from the community, and to fill all member positions. Cara Lamb a new member to the E&D TAC and Pam Arnsberger a new applicant introduced themselves and shared information about their interest in serving on the E&D TAC. The committee also considered Greta Kleiner’s application (included in the packet). She was unable to attend the meeting.

   The 4th and 5th districts are still without representation.

Action: The motion (Berkowitz/Ance) -- to recommend that the Regional Transportation Commission approve applicant Pam Arnsberger as District 2 representative – carries.
Ayes: Lisa Berkowitz, John Daugherty, Veronica Elsea, Clay Kempf, Cara Lamb, Kirk Ance
Nays: None
Abstain: None

Action: The motion (Kempf/Gifford) -- to recommend that the Regional Transportation Commission approve applicant Greta Kleiner as Potential Transit User Disabled -- carries.

Ayes: Lisa Berkowitz, John Daugherty, Veronica Elsea, Clay Kempf, Cara Lamb, Kirk Ance
Nays: None
Abstain: None

16. Receive Metro Update and Ad Hoc Committee Status – John Daugherty, Metro, discussed Metro’s premium fares for Paratransit services, and the Accessible Services Coordinator position for mobility training. At Metro’s board meeting on April 10 the board approved an increase to the Highway 17 Express fare and related passes for that service. The new fare takes effect on September 10 and will increase from $5.00 with $2.50 discounted fare, to $7.00 with $3.50 discounted fare.

On April 10 and finalized on May 22, the Metro board also approved an increase to the paratransit fee to re-align service with Metro fixed route service. The fare will be $4.00 per ride with an additional $2.00 for additional rides or transfers. A will call return trip will be $8.00 per one-way trip and re-dispatching a paratransit vehicle will be $16 per one-way trip. On May 22 Metro decided to continue to run paratransit in Lompico and South Felton for the summer which will be premium fare service. Cuts for the Accessible Service Coordinator position will be considered at a later date along with other possible cuts. Savings from reductions will be used to partially offset $75,000 of Board approved system wide ADA bus stop and facilities assessment mandated by law. A public hearing is set for June 26 to hear comments on Metro’s FY2015/16 and 2016/17 operating budget, and the FY2015/16 capital budget.

Discussion by the committee included:
• Concerns about seniors and people with disabilities being unaware of changes, not having funds to pay for increased fares, and becoming stranded and vulnerable.
• The rationale of cuts to service for seniors and people with disabilities happening before other service cuts and until the entire system is examined holistically.
• The cost impact of increased fares for bus and ParaCruz services to low-income riders. Some suggested that Metro consider instituting a fare coupon book for low income riders channeled into non-peak periods and research options used in other areas.

A motion: The motion (Berkowitz/Kempf) – to recommend that E&D TAC send a letter to Metro Board requesting that the following be considered – passed with John Daugherty abstaining:

1. Develop a comprehensive education and outreach plan which informs ParaCruz users of the changes which take effect in September, 2015. Suggested avenues for reaching affected persons could include: in-person meetings; on-hold phone messages at ParaCruz; reminders and information provided by dispatchers at the time of booking rides; mailings; literature and notices placed in Paratransit vehicles; information on Metro’s web site; public service announcements on local media; notice sent to long-term care facilities, senior facilities; any agencies which refer clients to Metro’s services.

2. Develop an emergency funding plan to cover ParaCruz riders who may be caught unable to pay for a return trip at the premium fare rate. This could include a scholarship fund, grace periods or other ways of obtaining wavers.

3. Make the date that approved changes to ParaCruz service take effect after the education and emergency funding plans have been fully created and implemented. E&D TAC also requests copies of these plans when they are finalized.

4. Request that Metro not cut services to seniors and those with disabilities unless such cuts are done holistically as part of a restructuring of the entire system. This includes services to seniors and those with disabilities such as the availability of an accessible services coordinator.
Ayes: Lisa Berkowitz, Veronica Elsea, Clay Kempf, Cara Lamb, Kirk Ance
Nays: None
Abstain: John Daugherty

17. Receive Passenger Rail Feasibility Study – Draft Report – Karena Pushnik, Senior RTC Planner, provided an overview of findings from the Passenger Rail Feasibility Study Draft Report. This high-level study focuses on public rail transit options within the most populated sections of the county and looks toward meeting the transportation needs of all ages and abilities into the future. The study includes cost, ridership, and funding options for a range of transit service scenarios. All are encouraged to review the draft report posted online and available at public libraries. Comments may be submitted online via survey, email or comment form available on the RTC website, http://www.sccrtc.org/projects/rail/passenger-rail/. Members and attendees are encouraged to assist with outreach and submit written comments by the July 31, 2015 deadline for consideration during development of the final report and recommendations.

18. Receive Pedestrian Safety Workgroup Update – Veronica Elsea – Pedestrian Safety Workgroup Chair – gave an update on the Pedestrian Safety Workgroup. She mentioned that the Committee met with both the Community Traffic Safety Coalition and the South County Bike Work Group, and received good feedback. She requested attendee’s help to distribute the What Pedestrians and Motorists Want Each Other to Know brochure. Ms. Elsea mentioned that the work group has room for one more member and the next meeting for Pedestrian Safety Work Group is June 22 at 1:30 pm in the RTC Conference room.

19. Adjourn 3:37 pm

Respectfully submitted, Cathy Judd, RTC Staff
MINUTES

Thursday, June 18, 2015, 1:30 p.m.
SCCRTC Conference Room
1523 Pacific Ave, Santa Cruz, CA

ITAC MEMBERS PRESENT
Eliza Yu, AMBAG
Taylor Bateman, Scotts Valley Planning
Russell Chen, County Planning Proxy
Murray Fontes, Watsonville Public Works and Planning Proxy
Scott Hamby, Scotts Valley Public Works
Steve Wiesner, County Public Works
Joshua Spangrud, Santa Cruz Public Works
Claire Fliesler, Santa Cruz Planning

STAFF PRESENT
Rachel Moriconi
Karena Pushnik
Tegan Speiser
George Dondero

OTHERS PRESENT
Eric Child, Santa Cruz Resident
Jack Nelson, Campaign for Sensible Transportation

1. Call to Order: Chair Wiesner called the meeting to order at 1:30pm.

2. Introductions: Self introductions were made.

3. Oral Communications: Jack Nelson shared his opinion on highway projects, demand for road systems, and climate change’s cost to society.

4. Additions/Changes to consent and regular agenda: None.

CONSENT AGENDA

Murray moved and Kelly seconded approval of the consent agenda. The motion passed unanimously with Chen, Fontes, Fliesler, Hamby, Bateman, Spangrud, Wiesner, and Yu voting "yes".

5. Approved minutes of the March 19, 2015 ITAC meeting.
REGULAR AGENDA

6. Status of ongoing transportation projects, programs, studies and planning documents - Verbal updates from project sponsors

Caltrans: reported about the Highway 17 Management/Access Plan meeting and distributed fact sheets.

Scotts Valley: Scott Hamby reported that: the turning lanes on Mt. Herman Road, Whispering Pines Drive, and Scotts Valley Drive need to be re-evaluated; they applied for a $1.3 million Active Transportation Program (ATP) grant; and there are new sidewalks on Kings Village Road.

RTC: RTC staff reported on the SAFE on 17 - 2014 Annual Report; and the status of the wildlife connectivity crossing with The Land Trust.

AMBAG: Eliza Yu reported on the Director’s Forum taking place the first week of August; the Sustainable Community Strategy implementation; and rural transit.

City of Santa Cruz: Claire Fliesler reported on the Wharf’s roundabout completion by Memorial Day; the Corridor Analysis including Ocean, Water, Soquel, and Mission Streets; resurfacing the path on West Cliff Drive; and their application submission for two Active Transportation Program (ATP) grants.

City of Watsonville Public Works: Murray Fontes reported that Safe Route to Schools projects are starting soon; Highway 152 relinquishment; and an application submission for the Active Transportation Program (ATP) grant.

County Planning: Russell Chen reported about a retaining wall project; several bridge repairs; guard rails on Alba and Granite; sidewalks infill on Dolphin Dr; Twin Lakes beach front project out to bid; Rio del Mar roundabout is finished; storm damage repair on Nelson Road completed; and the acute need for funds for paving and road maintenance.

7. Independent Survey on New Local Revenue Options

Karena Pushnik provided information on the RTC’s preliminary work on a transportation funding measure for the November 2016 election including the results of a privately-funded poll of likely voters. She noted that this work builds on the approved Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) which assumes a new 1/2-cent sales tax and vehicle registration fee. Discussion and questions ensued about the poll results and what key voters would support.

8. Cruz511 Traveler Information Service for Santa Cruz County

Tegan Speiser provided an overview of Cruz511’s mobile-friendly website that provides real-time traffic information, encourages the use of alternative transportation and supplies a wide range of traveler information and resources.
The committee discussed how Cruz511 will assist in teaching people how to use public transit and inquired on how more bike resources could be incorporated into the site.

9. **Draft Passenger Rail Feasibility Study**

Rachel Moriconi and Karena Pushnik provided an overview of the Draft Passenger Rail Feasibility Study. Committee members provided feedback on the study and shared outreach ideas.

10. **Adjournment:** The meeting adjourned at 3:33 p.m.

*Minutes prepared by: Jennifer Rodriguez and Rachel Moriconi*
1. Introductions

Chair Lane called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m.

Members present:
Don Lane   John Leopold
Greg Caput   Dennis Norton
Ed Bottorff   Patrick Mulhearn (alt.)
Ryan Coonerty   Virginia Johnson (alt.)
Randy Johnson

Staff present:
George Dondero   Luis Mendez
Jennifer Rodriguez   Yesenia Parra

2. Oral communications

None

3. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas

Agenda item 11 was pulled from closed session.

CONSENT AGENDA

Commissioner Norton motioned and Commissioner Leopold seconded the approval of the consent agenda. The motion passed unanimously, with Commissioners Lane, Leopold, Norton, Caput, Bottorff, Randy Johnson,
Coonerty and Commissioner Alternates Mulhearn and Virginia Johnson voting “aye”.

4. Approved out of state travel for Executive Director for the 2015 Community Leadership Visit and the 2016 Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting

5. Received Project Fact Sheet: SR 1/17 Interchange Widening

REGULAR AGENDA

6. State and Federal Transportation Updates

George Dondero, Executive Director, presented his staff report on State and Federal transportation funding. He noted that the authorization to use State Transit Assistance (STA) funds for operations was extended through July 1st, 2016. He also gave an update on the status of the cap-and-trade programs, the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) funding. He noted that, at the federal level, the House Republicans are ruled out a gas tax increase to fill significant gap in transportation funding.

He said that although STIP funding is looking bleak, jurisdictions should have projects ready for when funding is available.

Commissioners discussed the funding allocations to low income housing; the funding for projects that would reduce greenhouse gas emissions and the urgent need to have local funding to ensure the RTC can compete for funding that requires local match.

7. Amendments to the Fiscal Year (FY) 2015-16 Budget & Work Program

Luis Mendez, Deputy Director, presented his staff report. The proposed amendments include: hiring of a temporary planner and a recent grant award that will help the RTC respond to the need for more public outreach on RTC projects. The outreach will also include the development of a marketing tool kit that will be used by other partner agencies, government agencies and others working on transportation projects. It will also be used for outreach on information for a possible sales tax measure.

Commissioners discussed the importance of agency transparency and asked staff to bring to a future meeting soon an agenda item for the RTC to consider working toward a 2016 sales tax ballot measure for transportation.

Commissioner Leopold motioned and Commissioner Coonerty seconded to approve a resolution approving the amended FY 2015-16 budget and work program as shown on Exhibit A to Attachment 1.

The motion (Resolution 30-15) passed with Commissioners Lane, Leopold, Norton, Caput, Bottorff, Coonerty, and Commissioner Alternates Virginia
Johnson, and Mulhearn voting “aye” and Commissioner Randy. Johnson voting “nay”.

8. North Coast Pipeline on Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line for the City of Santa Cruz Water Department

Luis Mendez said that the City of Santa Cruz Water Department is requesting a crossing agreement to relocate a pipeline through the Rail Line right-of-way.

Commissioners discussed coordinating with other utilities to collaborate on possible RTC projects while the trenches are open.

George Dondero informed the Commission that the RTC is drafting a letter that will be sent to all utilities that might be interested in the Rail Line right-of-way property. He said the RTC will always go with the “dig once policy”.

Commissioner Leopold motioned and Commissioner Coonerty seconded to adopt the resolution authorizing the Executive Director to execute a crossing agreement and license with the City of Santa Cruz Water Department for construction and maintenance of a new pipeline on the Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line.

The motion (Resolution 31-15) passed unanimously with Commissioners Lane, Leopold, Norton, Caput, Bottorff, Randy Johnson, Coonerty, and Commissioner Alternates Virginia Johnson, and Mulhearn voting “aye”.

9. Title VI Civil Rights Program & Language Assistance Plan

Luis Mendez presented his staff report stating that the RTC is now required to show that it is in compliance with Title VI Civil Rights Program and Language Assistance Plan. This includes adding language in RTC committee agenda packets, postings on the RTC website and posting the requirements where they are clearly visible.

Commissioner Leopold motioned and Commissioner Coonerty seconded to adopt the resolution approving the draft Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission Title VI Civil Rights Program and Language Assistance Plan.

The motion (Resolution 32-15) passed unanimously with Commissioners Lane, Leopold, Norton, Caput, Bottorff, Randy. Johnson, Coonerty, and Commissioner Alternates Virginia Johnson, and Mulhearn voting “aye”.

10. Meeting adjourned at 9:48 a.m. Next meetings

The next SCCRTC meeting is scheduled for Thursday, August 6, 2015 at 9:00 a.m. at the Scotts Valley City Council Chambers, 1 Civic Center Dr., Scotts Valley, CA.
The next Transportation Policy Workshop is scheduled for Thursday, August 20, 2015 at 9:00 am at the City of Watsonville Council Chambers, 275 Main St., Ste. 400, Watsonville, CA.

Respectfully submitted,

Yesenia Parra, Staff

Attendees:

Alex Clifford  Santa Cruz Metro  
Kevin Crosley  City of Santa Cruz
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MONTH</th>
<th>FY13 - 14 ACTUAL REVENUE</th>
<th>FY14 - 15 ESTIMATE REVENUE</th>
<th>FY14 - 15 ACTUAL REVENUE</th>
<th>DIFFERENCE AS % OF PROJECTION</th>
<th>CUMULATIVE % OF ACTUAL TO PROJECTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>556,100</td>
<td>583,905</td>
<td>591,100</td>
<td>7,195</td>
<td>1.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>741,500</td>
<td>778,575</td>
<td>788,200</td>
<td>9,625</td>
<td>1.24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>818,354</td>
<td>859,272</td>
<td>791,871</td>
<td>-67,401</td>
<td>-7.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>596,900</td>
<td>626,745</td>
<td>616,700</td>
<td>-10,045</td>
<td>-1.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>795,900</td>
<td>835,695</td>
<td>822,300</td>
<td>-13,395</td>
<td>-1.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>732,985</td>
<td>769,634</td>
<td>719,449</td>
<td>-50,185</td>
<td>-6.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>557,700</td>
<td>595,461</td>
<td>601,300</td>
<td>5,839</td>
<td>0.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>728,800</td>
<td>793,948</td>
<td>801,800</td>
<td>7,852</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>802,890</td>
<td>704,655</td>
<td>739,331</td>
<td>34,676</td>
<td>4.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>504,100</td>
<td>530,042</td>
<td>524,400</td>
<td>-5,642</td>
<td>-1.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>672,100</td>
<td>706,686</td>
<td>699,200</td>
<td>-7,486</td>
<td>-1.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>780,261</td>
<td>845,925</td>
<td>853,689</td>
<td>7,764</td>
<td>0.92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**     | **8,287,590**            | **8,630,543**             | **8,549,340**           | **-81,203**                   | **-0.94%**                           | **99%**                               |

**Note:**
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TO: Regional Transportation Commission

FROM: George Dondero, Executive Director

REGARDING: Central Coast Coalition MOU Amendment #3

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends that the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) Authorize the Executive Director and the Chair to sign amendment #3 to the Central Coast Coalition MOU.

BACKGROUND

The Executive Directors and senior staff of the transportation agencies on the Central Coast, including the Regional Transportation Planning Agencies for the five counties in Caltrans District 5, the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG), and Caltrans District 5 have been meeting on a regular basis to strengthen our presence at the California Transportation Commission (CTC), to increase support for funding projects in the Central Coast region, and to coordinate on a variety of issues of mutual interest including funding policies and legislation determined at the state and federal levels. The effectiveness of the Coalition’s legislative advocacy effort has been growing, and provides much needed visibility of the member agencies during a period when transportation funding legislation is seeing significant attention in Sacramento.

DISCUSSION

Amendment #3 to the CCC MOU extends the term of the agreement through June 30, 2020. It also increases the level of financial support provided by member agencies. The annual dues to be provided by the RTC is $3300.

SUMMARY

Member agencies of the Central Coast Coalition wish to continue their success by extending the term of the MOU through June 2020 and increase dues to accommodate future needs and activities. Amendment #3 a authorizes the term extension and new level of dues.

Attachments:
  1. Amendment #3, CCC MOU
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AMENDMENT 3 TO THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
BETWEEN THE MEMBERS OF THE CENTRAL COAST COALITION:

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS,
ASSOCIATION OF MONTEREY BAY AREA GOVERNMENTS,
COUNCIL OF SAN BENITO COUNTY GOVERNMENTS,
TRANSPORTATION AGENCY FOR MONTEREY COUNTY,
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS, AND
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
TO FORM A PARTNERSHIP TO ENSURE THE VITALITY OF THE
U.S. 101 CORRIDOR ALONG THE CENTRAL COAST

Dated August 30, 2015

THIS AMENDMENT NO. 3 to the Memorandum of Understanding approved in 2011, and
amended in September 2012, is hereby entered into by and between the Santa Barbara County
Association of Governments (SBCAG), Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments
(AMBAG), Council of San Benito County Governments (San Benito COG), Transportation
Agency for Monterey County (TAMC), San Luis Obispo Council of Governments (SLOCOG),
and Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC), collectively referred to
herein as the CENTRAL COAST COALITION, or the “AGENCIES”.

RECITALS:

WHEREAS, the AGENCIES entered into a Memorandum of Understanding in February, 2011,
hereinafter referred to as the “MOU,” and

WHEREAS, in September, 2012 the AGENCIES entered into Amendment No. 2 to the MOU in
order to establish an annual dues schedule for the purposes of covering the expenses of the
Central Coast Coalition, including legislative advocacy services; and

WHEREAS, the AGENCIES desire to amend the dues schedule as stated in this amendment in
order to reflect the additional legislative advocacy services required by the AGENCIES for a
period of five years;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree to amend the MOU as follows:

1. TERM OF MOU
   This MOU shall expire on June 30, 2020.

2. TOTAL PAYMENTS
   Appendix A of the MOU is hereby modified as specified in the attached Appendix A.

3. REMAINDER OF TERMS UNCHANGED
   Except as modified herein, all other terms of the MOU, including the scope of services,
   shall remain in full force and effect.
This Amendment No. 3 shall become effective when executed by all Agencies.

San Luis Obispo Council of Governments

Debbie Arnold, President
Date: ____________________________

Ronald L. De Carli, Executive Director
Date: ____________________________

Approved as to Legal Form
SLOCOG Counsel

Date: ____________________________

Santa Barbara County Association of Governments

Jim Richardson, Chair
Date: ____________________________

Jim Kemp, Executive Director
Date: ____________________________

Approved as to Legal Form
SBCAG Counsel

Date: ____________________________

Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments

Edward Bottorff, President
Date: ________

Maura Twomey
Executive Director
Date: ________

Approved as to Legal Form
AMBAG Counsel

Date: ____________________________
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Amendment 3 to the Central Coast Coalition MOU
Dated: August 30, 2015

Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission

______________________________________________
John Leopold, Chair
Date: ____________________________

George Dondero, Executive Director
Date: ____________________________

Approved as to Legal Form

______________________________________________
SCCRTC Counsel
Date: ____________________________

Transportation Agency for Monterey County

______________________________________________
Kimbley Craig, Chair
Date: ____________________________

Debra L. Hale, Executive Director
Date: ____________________________

Approved as to Legal Form
TAMC Counsel

______________________________________________
Kathryn Reimann
Date: ____________________________

Council of San Benito County Governments

______________________________________________
Jerry Muenzer, Chair
Date: ____________________________

Mary Gilbert, Executive Director
Date: ____________________________

Approved as to Legal Form
San Benito County Counsel

______________________________________________
Shirley L. Murphy
Date: ____________________________
ATTACHMENT A – As amended on August 30, 2015

ANNUAL DUES SCHEDULE

AGENCIES will pay annual dues according to the following schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBCAG</td>
<td>$5,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLOCOG</td>
<td>$3,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMBAG</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Benito COG</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAMC</td>
<td>$5,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>$3,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$20,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AGENDA: August 6, 2015

TO: Regional Transportation Commission

FROM: Karena Pushnik, Senior Transportation Planner

RE: Appointments to the Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee

RECOMMENDATION

The Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E&D TAC) and staff recommend that the Regional Transportation Commission:

1. Approve Pam Arnsberger, (Attachment 1) for the E&D TAC member position representing the 2nd Supervisorial District;
2. Approve Greta Kleiner (Attachment 2) for the E&D TAC member position representing Potential Transit Users - Disabled;
3. Nominate members of their community for vacant positions as shown in the revised membership roster (Attachment 3).

BACKGROUND

The Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E&D TAC) functions best when all committee membership and alternate positions are filled. Committee members, staff, Commissioners and the community are partners in this endeavor.

DISCUSSION

At its June 2015 meeting, the E&D TAC recommended that the RTC approve Pam Arnsberger, (Attachment 1) for the E&D TAC member position representing the 2nd Supervisorial District. Ms. Arnsberger currently serves on the Seniors Council of Santa Cruz County and a committee of the California Department on Aging. Attached is Pam Arnsberger’s E&D TAC membership application (Attachment 1). The E&D TAC and staff recommend that the Regional Transportation Commission approve Pam Arnsberger for the member position representing the 2nd Supervisorial District.

Also at its June 2015 meeting, the E&D TAC recommended that the RTC approve Greta Kleiner (Attachment 2) for the E&D TAC member position representing Disabled Potential Transit Users. Ms. Kleiner, both a senior and person with disabilities, uses transit and paratransit services. In addition, she lives among many seniors who also use the services. Attached is Greta Kleiner’s E&D TAC membership application (Attachment 2). The E&D TAC and staff recommend that the Regional Transportation Commission approve Greta Kleiner for the member position representing Potential Transit Users-Disabled.

There are still a number of vacant positions. Active recruitment is underway for the following E&D TAC representatives:

- 4th Supervisorial District – member and alternate
- 5th Supervisorial District – member and alternate
- Social Service Provider Representing Seniors (County) - alternate
- Social Service Provider Representing People with Disabilities (County) – alternate
Appointments to E&D TAC

- Potential Transit User 60+ - alternate
- Potential Transit User Disabled - alternate
- 2nd Supervisorial District - alternate

Staff would appreciate assistance from commissioners in filling the vacant positions, both member and alternate positions. An E&D TAC membership application can be found at www.sccrtc.org/edtac-app.

SUMMARY

The E&D TAC and staff recommend that the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission approve Pam Arnsberger for the E&D TAC member position representing the 2nd Supervisorial District; Greta Kleiner for the E&D TAC member position representing Potential Transit Users - Disabled; and assist with nominations for vacant positions.

Attachments:
1. Committee Appointment Applications for Pam Arnsberger
2. Committee Appointment Application for Greta Kleiner
3. E&D TAC Roster
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COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT APPLICATION

Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC)
Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E&D TAC)

Meetings are scheduled for the second Tuesday of every other month at 1:30 p.m. in the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission conference room, located at 1523 Pacific Avenue in downtown Santa Cruz. At least one meeting each year is scheduled for an alternate location. Please refer to the Committee description, bylaws and recruitment process for more information.

If you are interested in serving on this committee, please complete this application, and return it to the Regional Transportation Commission office.

PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY

Name: Ram Ainslie

Home address: Aptos CA 95003

Mailing address (if different): Aptos CA 95001

Phone: (home): (business/message)

E-mail:

Length of residence in Santa Cruz County: 30 yrs since arrival

Position(s) I am applying for: □ Any appropriate position

☑ SCCRTC/ED TAC

Previous experience on a government commission or committee (please specify)

Currently on Senior Council of Santa Cruz County

CA Dept. on Aging (Grant Contacts Review Subcommittee 1989-91

________________________________________

________________________________________

________________________________________

________________________________________

________________________________________

________________________________________

RECEIVED

MAY 20 2015

RTC
### Relevant Work or Volunteer Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Town or Address</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seniors Council of Santa Cruz County &amp; San Benito County</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td>Board Member</td>
<td>19115-present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteer Center of Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td>Board Member</td>
<td>1985-1988</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statement of Qualifications:** Please attach a brief statement indicating why you are interested in serving on this committee and why you are qualified for the appointment. If you have served on this committee in the past, please summarize your accomplishments on the committee and indicate which of the committee’s potential future endeavors most interest you.

**Certification:** I certify that the above information is true and correct and I authorize the verification of the information in the application in the event I am a finalist for the appointment.

_Signed_ ____________________________

_Date_ 5/12/15

**How did you learn about this opportunity?**

- [ ] newspaper
- [ ] flyer
- [ ] radio
- [ ] friend/family member
- [ ] internet
- [ ] other

**Return Application to:** SCCRTC
Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee
1523 Pacific Avenue
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
fax: 460-3215 email: gblakeslee@sccrtc.org

**Questions or Comments:** (831) 460-3200
Explanatory Statement

I wish to become a member of the Regional Transportation Commission’s Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee because I am interested in maintaining adequate access to transportation for those who are transportation dependent in Santa Cruz County. In the past I worked on this issue with the Commission to establish Lifeline to address what was then an unmet need. Although there are many more options now than there were in the past, there still remain issues of cost effectiveness and preservation of the existing network of transportation services during times of fiscal cutbacks.

As the aging population continues to increase in size both in absolute numbers and in terms of the proportion of the rest of the population (see below), maintaining services at least at current levels becomes crucial for those who no longer drive, either for economic or health reasons. In addition, transportation services are crucial for caregivers, most of whom are older themselves, when they cannot either drive or accompany their elders to appointments. These issues are becoming more real to me than they were in the past. As the spouse of an elder with glaucoma, I recently wondered how he will manage in the future if I am not there to drive him as we recently made three trips to the pharmacy to try and retrieve just one (of three) mislaid prescriptions.

Issues like these confront our elders and people with disabilities on a daily basis. To be certain that services are there to meet their ongoing needs should is a priority for me as I move from professional gerontology into becoming a consumer.

*_*_*_*_*_*_*_*_*_*_*_*_*_*_*

I have recently become concerned that there is a perception in Santa Cruz County that the number of elders has perhaps peaked and that current services are more than adequate for now and the future. This is patently untrue. Recent demographic projections by the California Department of Aging indicate that the percentage increase in the elderly population in Santa Cruz County between 1990 and 2020 (projected) has been in the range of 100-150% (see http://www.aging.ca.gov/Data_and_Statistics/Facts_About_Elderly). Furthermore among these are some indicators of significant predictors of transportation dependency including the fact that 21.43 percent are non-white, 10% are low-income, and of perhaps of most importance 12.5% are considered geographically isolated e.g. if they were unable to drive they would not have easy access to services. My own research also indicates that another at risk group are those who live alone who comprise 21.42% of the older population in this county. For a variety of reasons, transportation among them, this group often does not access needed services at all and ends up needlessly institutionalized.
COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT APPLICATION

Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC)
Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee (E&D TAC)

Meetings are scheduled for the second Tuesday of every other month at 1:30 p.m. in the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission conference room, located at 1523 Pacific Avenue in downtown Santa Cruz. At least one meeting each year is scheduled for an alternate location. Please refer to the Committee description, bylaws and recruitment process for more information.

If you are interested in serving on this committee, please complete this application, and return it to the Regional Transportation Commission office.

PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY

Name: ________ Greta Kleiner ________
Home address: __________ Delaware Ave, Santa Cruz 95060 ______
Mailing address (if different): ______________________________________
Phone: (home) ______________________ (business/message) ______________________
E-mail: ______________________

Length of residence in Santa Cruz County: ________ 27 years ________
Position(s) I am applying for: □ Any appropriate position
□ Member, Elderly & Disabled ______

Previous experience on a government commission or committee (please specify)

Two terms, Santa Cruz Historic Preservation Commission
One term, San Jose City Council
County of Santa Cruz Grand Jury

RECEIVED
MAY 20 2015
RTC
## Relevant Work or Volunteer Experience

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Town or Address</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Guesters</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>President Treasurer</td>
<td>1998 To 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friends of SANTA CRUZ STATE PARKS</td>
<td>Seacliff New Brighton</td>
<td>Park Aide</td>
<td>2004 To 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANTA CLARA COUNTY TRANSIT</td>
<td>San Jose</td>
<td>Service Worker, Mech. Trainee</td>
<td>1998 1 1994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Statement of Qualifications:** Please attach a brief statement indicating why you are interested in serving on this committee and why you are qualified for the appointment. If you have served on this committee in the past, please summarize your accomplishments on the committee and indicate which of the committee’s potential future endeavors most interest you.

**Certification:** I certify that the above information is true and correct and I authorize the verification of the information in the application in the event I am a finalist for the appointment.

_Signed_ G. Kleiner  
_5/15/15_ Date

**How did you learn about this opportunity?**

- [X] newspaper  
- ___ radio  
- ___ internet  
- ___ flyer  
- ___ friend/family member  
- ___ other

**Return Application to:**

SCCRTC  
Elderly & Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee  
1523 Pacific Avenue  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060  
fax: 460-3215  email: gblakeslee@sccrtc.org

**Questions or Comments:**  (831) 460-3200

I:\E&DTAC\MEMBERS\Application\COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT APPLICATION.doc
Gentlemen –

Being both elderly and disabled I wanted to thank you for maintaining a transit system which allows those with challenges to travel throughout the county.

I live among many seniors who rely on buses and door-to-door service to reach appointments, exercise classes and shopping everyday. It would be an honor to represent them on your committee and to offer suggestions for your service.

Thank you again for this opportunity to improve a great transit system.

[Signature]

[Name]
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s
ELDERLY & DISABLED TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (E&D TAC)
and SOCIAL SERVICES TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COUNCIL (SSTAC)

Membership Roster - August 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Members</th>
<th>Representing</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kari Bauman</td>
<td>Social Service Provider - Seniors (County)</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Molesky (2017)</td>
<td>Social Service Provider - Disabled (County)</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Lamb (2018)</td>
<td>Potential Transit User (60+)</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greta Kleiner - pending (2018)</td>
<td>Potential Transit User (Disabled)</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Year in Parentheses) = Membership Expiration Date
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s  
ELDERLY & DISABLED TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (ED/TAC)  
and SOCIAL SERVICES TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COUNCIL (SSTAC)

Membership Roster – August 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Members</th>
<th>Representing</th>
<th>Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supervisioral District Representatives</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patti Shevlin</td>
<td>1st District</td>
<td>Brent Gifford</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pam Arrnsberger-pending</td>
<td>2nd District</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2018)</td>
<td>(Friend)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Veronica Elsea, Chair</strong></td>
<td>3rd District</td>
<td>Charlie Levine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vacant</td>
<td>4th District</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Caput)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vacant</td>
<td>5th District</td>
<td>vacant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(McPherson)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Staff**

Grace Blakeslee, Transportation Planner, RTC 460-3219, gblakeslee@sccrtc.org  
(Karena Pushnik while G. Blakeslee is on maternity leave)
TO: Regional Transportation Commission

FROM: Yesenia Parra, Administrative Services Officer

RE: Authorization for Out-of-State Travel

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends that the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) authorize out-of-state travel for two RTC staff members to attend the Paylocity Client Conference on October 21-23, 2015 in Rosemont, IL.

BACKGROUND

In early 2010, the RTC was asked by the County of Santa Cruz (County) to contract with another vendor for payroll and tax reporting services as the County could no longer provide this function to the RTC. In July of that year, the RTC contracted with the firm Paylocity for payroll processing and payroll tax reporting services. Since the transition, the Administrative Services Officer, with the help of the Accounting Technician, has managed the payroll functions for the RTC. Payroll tax reporting has been managed by the Fiscal Officer.

DISCUSSION

For the past five years, RTC staff has diligently worked with its 3rd party vendor, Paylocity, to provide the RTC with the reporting and payroll functionality that it had when the County served as the third party administrator. The RTC is satisfied with the services Paylocity has provided during this time.

In recent years, payroll and tax requirements have undergone many complicated changes. Changes with CalPERS retirement reporting have added a layer of complexity to the agency’s payroll reporting requirements. Although Paylocity runs payroll, RTC manages the day-to-day payroll requirements and is ultimately responsible for ensuring payroll is processed correctly and that tax payments are made on time. Paylocity offers online courses to keep their clients informed and staff has participated in many of these courses. Attending the Paylocity Client Conference will provide staff with a higher level of training and the opportunity to meet one-on-one with Paylocity representatives to address and resolve some outstanding needs and issues with the RTC’s Paylocity account. Staff recommends that the RTC approve out-of-state travel for two RTC staff members to attend the Paylocity Client Conference on October 21-23, 2015 in Rosemont, IL.
The cost to attend this conference for two staff members is estimated to be $3,500, including a $399 registration fee per person that covers the cost of the conference and meals. There are sufficient funds in the RTC’s approved 2015-16 RTC budget to cover this expense.

SUMMARY

Staff recommends that the RTC authorize out-of-state travel for two RTC staff members to attend the Paylocity Client Conference on October 21-23, 2015, in Rosemont, IL at an estimated cost of $3,500.

Attachment 1: 2015 Paylocity Client Conference Agenda
2015 PAYLOCITY CLIENT CONFERENCE
OCTOBER 21-23, 2015 | THE WESTIN O’HARE | ROSEMONT, IL

- Overview
- Hotel & Travel
- Agenda
- FAQs

*Agenda details now available! Check out the sessions and topics available to you at this year's conference, [HERE](https://www.regonline.com/builder/site/tab2.aspx?EventID=1676819).

Mark Your Calendars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time (CDT)</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, October 21st</td>
<td>4:00-6:00pm</td>
<td>Early Conference Check-In</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6:00pm</td>
<td>Welcome Reception Cocktail Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, October 22nd</td>
<td>7:30-9:00am</td>
<td>Conference Check-In &amp; Breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9:00am-12:00pm</td>
<td>Sessions &amp; Breakouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12:00-1:00pm</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:00-5:00pm</td>
<td>Sessions &amp; Breakouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday, October 23rd</td>
<td>8:00-9:00am</td>
<td>Breakfast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9:00-11:00am</td>
<td>Sessions &amp; Breakouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11:00am-12:00pm</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12:00-2:00pm</td>
<td>Sessions &amp; Breakouts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:00-3:00pm</td>
<td>Last Chance Networking &amp; Closing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*New* Wednesday Night Welcome Reception
This year’s conference kicks off with a cocktail party at The Westin O’Hare Wednesday, October 21st at 6:00pm. Join us for drinks and hors d’oeuvres, while meeting and mingling with the Paylocity team. You won’t want to miss the chance to win big in exclusive raffles and giveaways. Plus, hear from Paylocity President and CEO, Steve Beauchamp, on what the past, present, and future look like for Paylocity!

Customize Your 2015 Client Conference Experience
The final conference agenda will be sent out to all registrants closer to the event! Here's a sneak peak of the forums and topics that will be featured:

Main Hall Speakers. Hear directly from industry-leading guest speakers and Paylocity executives on today's most important payroll and HR topics. Don't miss vital updates on Tax, ACA, and more!

Training Courses. Beginner and Advanced trainings will be available this year. Go beyond basic how-to's and explore best practice techniques in Payroll, HR, Time & Labor, ACA, and other Paylocity solutions! Have questions specific to your Paylocity system? Visit our Help Desk for one-on-one assistance.

Professional Development Seminars. Whether you're a Supervisor or Administrator, enhance your personal and professional skills in these seminars. Return to your office with abilities that help you take Payroll and HR out of the backroom and into the boardroom!
Product Owner Chat Sessions. These discussion-focused sessions will allow you to ask questions and speak freely with our product development team. Don't let us do all the talking, tell us what you want to see!

Connection Zone. A step beyond last year's connection zone, this tradeshow-like setup fosters easy access to Paylocity's hottest solutions. Stop by to delve into products, takeaway valuable resources, and chat with our team.

Please Note: Registered attendees will be able to sign-up for conference sessions of your choosing in August.

Quick, easy and affordable online event registration and event management software for all event sizes.
# Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
## THREE MONTH MEETING SCHEDULE
### August 2015 Through October 2015

All meetings are subject to cancellation when there are no action items to be considered by the board or committee. Please visit our website for meeting agendas and locations [www.sccrtc.org/meetings/](http://www.sccrtc.org/meetings/)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Date</th>
<th>Meeting Day</th>
<th>Meeting Type</th>
<th>Meeting Time</th>
<th>Meeting Place</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/6/15</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Commission</td>
<td>9:00 am</td>
<td>Scotts Valley City Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/10/15</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>Bicycle Advisory Committee</td>
<td>6:00 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/11/15</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>Elderly &amp; Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1:30 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/20/15</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Transportation Policy Workshop</td>
<td>9:00 am</td>
<td>Watsonville City Council Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/20/15</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Interagency Technical Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1:30 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/3/15</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Commission</td>
<td>9:00 am</td>
<td>County Board of Supervisors Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/10/15</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Budget &amp; Administration/Personnel Committee</td>
<td>3:00 pm</td>
<td>CAO Conference Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/16/15</td>
<td>Wednesday</td>
<td>Safe on 17/Traffic Operations Systems</td>
<td>10:00 am</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/17/15</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Transportation Policy Workshop</td>
<td>9:00 am</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/17/15</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Interagency Technical Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1:30 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/1/15</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Commission</td>
<td>9:00 am</td>
<td>County Board of Supervisors Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/13/15</td>
<td>Tuesday</td>
<td>Elderly &amp; Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1:30 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/15/15</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Transportation Policy Workshop</td>
<td>9:00 am</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/15/15</td>
<td>Thursday</td>
<td>Interagency Technical Advisory Committee</td>
<td>1:30 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/19/15</td>
<td>Monday</td>
<td>Bicycle Advisory Committee - note special date due to Columbus Day Holiday</td>
<td>6:00 pm</td>
<td>Commission Offices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RTC Watsonville Offices – 275 Main St Ste 450 – Watsonville, CA
Board of Supervisors Chambers/CAO/RDA Conference room – 701 Ocean St-5th floor – Santa Cruz, CA
City of Capitola-Council Chambers – 420 Capitola Ave – Capitola, CA
City of Santa Cruz-Council Chambers – 809 Center St – Santa Cruz, CA
City of Scotts Valley-Council Chamber – 1 Civic Center Dr – Scotts Valley, CA
City of Watsonville-Council Chambers – 275 Main St Ste 400 – Watsonville, CA
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Letter Rec'd/Sent</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Incoming/Outgoing</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Last</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Last</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/08/15</td>
<td>Email I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KP</td>
<td>Karena</td>
<td>Pushnik</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Jack</td>
<td>Nelson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Potential Traffic Outcomes for New Lanes on Highway 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/18/15</td>
<td>Letter I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>George</td>
<td>Dondero</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Paul-Albert</td>
<td>Marquez</td>
<td>Dept. of Transportation</td>
<td>Federal Highway Administration Partnership Planning Grant awarded FY 2012-13: Unified Corridor Investment Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/19/15</td>
<td>Email I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LM</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Marilyn</td>
<td>O'Rourke</td>
<td>Sumner Woods HOA Board of Directors</td>
<td>Sumner Woods Pathway and Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/20/15</td>
<td>Email I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Coonerty</td>
<td>Santa Cruz County</td>
<td>Kem</td>
<td>Akol</td>
<td>RTC Bike Committee</td>
<td>Yacht Harbor Transportation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/28/15</td>
<td>Letter I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Metro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2015 Board Officers and Appointments Announcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/28/15</td>
<td>Email I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GDy</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>Hawkins</td>
<td>CHP</td>
<td>Jeff</td>
<td>Bates</td>
<td>Redwood Estates Services Association</td>
<td>Safe on 17 Meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/29/15</td>
<td>Letter I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Tom</td>
<td>Gandesbery</td>
<td>State Coastal Conservancy</td>
<td>Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/29/15</td>
<td>Email I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Eselius</td>
<td>Human Race Survival</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Correspondence Log**

**August 6, 2015**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Letter</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Incoming/Outgoing</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Last</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Last</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/29/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Incoming</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>05/29/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Juliana Cheng</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Comment on Santa Cruz Passenger Rail Feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/31/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Incoming</td>
<td>JR</td>
<td>06/01/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David Eselius</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Political US Stripping Away Human Race Survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/01/15</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td>Garin Schneider</td>
<td>Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Luis Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Reimbursement for Federal funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/01/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Incoming</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/01/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Theresa Martinelli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No Trains!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/01/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Incoming</td>
<td>JR</td>
<td>06/01/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David Eselius</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Islamic State Within Ural Region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/01/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Incoming</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/01/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David Eselius</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Liberal Progressivism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/02/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Incoming</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/02/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Nicola Halstead</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Financial Times</td>
<td>Passenger Train Feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/02/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Incoming</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/02/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Brian Peoples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trail Over Train</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Letter Rec’d/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/02/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KP 06/02/15</td>
<td>Karena</td>
<td>Pushnik</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Stephen</td>
<td>Welch</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flyover Idea by Stephen Welch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/02/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ 06/02/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Justin</td>
<td>Swett</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Please let us Convert the Train Tracks to Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/02/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ 06/02/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Paul</td>
<td>Nolan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rail is the Way Forward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/02/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ 06/02/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Calcagno</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No train! Trail Only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/03/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ 06/03/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Paul</td>
<td>Braga</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No to Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/03/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ 06/03/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Craig</td>
<td>Neal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/03/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KP 06/03/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Bud</td>
<td>Colligan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/03/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ 06/03/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Amelia</td>
<td>Conlen</td>
<td>Bike Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.4 Commission Meeting, Item 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/15</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>George</td>
<td>Dondero</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Dennis</td>
<td>Norton</td>
<td>City of Capitola</td>
<td>Revised Northern CA Air Traffic Routes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/04/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Karen</td>
<td>Kaplan</td>
<td>Railbus, PRT, Gondola &amp; Thurs June 4, 6:30pm mtg.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/15</td>
<td>Form</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/04/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Charles</td>
<td>Paulden</td>
<td>Railbus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/04/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Scott</td>
<td>Schaaf</td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/04/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/04/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Micah</td>
<td>Posner</td>
<td>City of Santa Cruz My Input on Rail Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/05/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/05/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>Peoples</td>
<td>Train Feasibility Study - Thanks Jimmy!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/08/15</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Katie</td>
<td>Benouar</td>
<td>Department of Transportation</td>
<td>George</td>
<td>Dondero</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Caltrans 2015 Interregional Transportation Strategic Plan Final Draft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/08/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KP</td>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Inani Gordon</td>
<td>Eggleston Hammer</td>
<td>Draft Rail Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/09/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Inani</td>
<td>Eggleston</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/11/15</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Lt. Aaron</td>
<td>York</td>
<td></td>
<td>CHP Coastal Division</td>
<td>Ginger</td>
<td>Dykaar</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Freeway Service Patrol Memorandum of Understanding between RTC, CHP, and CDT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Dick</td>
<td>English</td>
<td></td>
<td>County Rail Project</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Eric</td>
<td>Johnson</td>
<td>Hilltromper</td>
<td>Article on Coastal Rail Trail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Charles</td>
<td>Huddleston</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rail Transit in Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Barry</td>
<td>Scott</td>
<td></td>
<td>Passenger Rail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Lois</td>
<td>Robin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Santa Cruz Rail Line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter Rec’d/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/12/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/15/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Juliana</td>
<td>Cheng</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rail Transit in Santa Cruz County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/14/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/15/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Eselius</td>
<td></td>
<td>Global Warming Response Progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/15/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KP</td>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Steve</td>
<td>Czarnecki</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conventional Trains or Light Rail Cars</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/17/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Barry</td>
<td>Scott</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rail Project on the Santa Cruz Branch Line</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/18/15</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCMTD</td>
<td>Veronica</td>
<td>Elsea</td>
<td>SCCRTC, Chair, E&amp;D TAC</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Metro Structural Deficit Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/20/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KP</td>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Barry</td>
<td>Scott</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rail Trail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/21/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Diana</td>
<td>Adamic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/21/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KP</td>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Steve</td>
<td>Piercy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rail Scenarios for South County</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter Rec’d/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/21/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Diane</td>
<td>Reymer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Passenger Rail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/22/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>06/23/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Eselius</td>
<td></td>
<td>There Is No Global Warming Second Chance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/22/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>KP</td>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Anita</td>
<td>Whelan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rail Service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/24/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>Peoples</td>
<td></td>
<td>Train Feasibility Study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/24/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Sarah</td>
<td>Kauffman</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bike-Friendly Rail Service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/24/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Prentice</td>
<td>Steffen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/24/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Sheryl</td>
<td>Kern-Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td>Comments on Rail Study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/24/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Eselius</td>
<td></td>
<td>Correcting Corrupt Computer Software</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Letter Rec’d/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>TO</td>
<td>FROM</td>
<td>Subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/25/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑ 06/25/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Rebbie Higgins</td>
<td>Rail Feasibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/25/15</td>
<td>Invoice</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reinie</td>
<td>Caltrans, District 5</td>
<td>Luis Mendez SCCRTC</td>
<td>Invoice #11a Reimbursement for Federal Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/26/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑ 06/26/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David Eselius</td>
<td>Fall of the Western Empire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/27/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑ 06/29/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Ron Davis</td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/28/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑ 07/13/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Douglas Hawes</td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/29/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑ 06/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David Eselius</td>
<td>A Nuclear Attack</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/15</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chris</td>
<td>CapitalEdge Advocacy, Inc.</td>
<td>George Dondero SCCRTC</td>
<td>Notice to Proceed Federal Transportation/Legislative Assistant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/02/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑ 07/06/15</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Lou Rose</td>
<td>Comment on Commuter Rail Proposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Correspondence Log
### August 6, 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Letter Rec’d/Sent</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Incoming/Outgoing</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Last</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>First</th>
<th>Last</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07/02/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Carol</td>
<td>Stern</td>
<td></td>
<td>Commuter Train Opinion and Info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/03/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>Peoples</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aptos-Rail Trail Update, Stop Train, Build Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/04/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>Peoples</td>
<td></td>
<td>Barry Scott Comment Regarding Rail Corridor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/04/15</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Howard F</td>
<td>Sosbee</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rail Feasibility Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/04/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Robert</td>
<td>Hull</td>
<td></td>
<td>07/04/15 Rail Study Editorial in Sentinel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/04/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Linda</td>
<td>Feldbrugge</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/04/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>Sosbee</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rail Study on Wrong Track</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/04/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Eselius</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Sanctuary City&quot; - A Meaningless Statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter Rec’d/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/05/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>Joseph MacDonell</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Robert Rich</td>
<td>Metropolitan Transportation Commission - SAFE</td>
<td>Ginger Dykaar</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Safe on 17 Invoice Estimate: April 1, 2015 - June 30, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/06/15</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>Brandy Rider</td>
<td>Caltrans, District 5</td>
<td>Cathy Judd</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Resolution Approving SCCRTC Title VI Civil Rights Program and Language Assistance Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/07/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>Joyce Roby</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Joyce Roby</td>
<td>An Idea for Rail Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/10/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>David Eselius</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David Eselius</td>
<td>Santa Cruz METRO Point to Point System</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/10/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>David Eselius</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David Eselius</td>
<td>Why Do Politicians Import Illegal Immigrants?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/11/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>Michael D Setty</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Michael D Setty</td>
<td>Passenger Rail Feasibility Study Draft Report Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/11/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>CJ</td>
<td>David Byron</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David Byron</td>
<td>Passenger Rail Feasibility Study Draft Report Comments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter Rec'd/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/11/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>Corser</td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/12/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Jeffrey</td>
<td>Werner</td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/12/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Elena</td>
<td>Herrick</td>
<td>Passenger Rail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/12/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Frank</td>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>Rail Trail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/13/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>LM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Tem</td>
<td>Kuechle</td>
<td>Passenger Rail Comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/15/15</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rick</td>
<td>Gooch</td>
<td>Union Pacific Railroad</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Third Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement Between Union Pacific Railroad Company and Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/15/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Eselius</td>
<td>Global Warming - &quot;Business-as-Usual&quot; Future to 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/15/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Mark</td>
<td>Wegrich</td>
<td>Rail Trail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter Rec’d/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/16/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Carey</td>
<td>Pico</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hillside Issue Related to the Rail/Trail Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/17/15</td>
<td>Invoice</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reinie</td>
<td>Jones</td>
<td>Caltrans, District 5</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Invoice #10b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/21/15</td>
<td>Letter</td>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td>Buckhammer</td>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>Luis</td>
<td>Mendez</td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>FY14-15 Surface Transportation Program (STP) Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/21/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Reed</td>
<td>Searle</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/21/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Joe</td>
<td>Ward</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/21/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Dee</td>
<td>Murray</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/22/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Eric</td>
<td>Child</td>
<td></td>
<td>Christmas Exhibit at MAH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/23/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Nina</td>
<td>Simon</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter Rec’d/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/23/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Harriet</td>
<td>Maglin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/23/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Anita</td>
<td>Whelan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/23/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Dottie P Jakobsen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/23/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Dick</td>
<td>English</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/23/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Philip</td>
<td>Boutelle</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/24/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Penny</td>
<td>Ellis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/25/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Lisa</td>
<td>Robinson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/26/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTTC</td>
<td>Brian</td>
<td>Peoples</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aptos Village Sidewalk &amp; Feasibility Study Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter Rec’d/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/26/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>07/27/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Eselius</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hillary Clinton’s Global Warming Solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/27/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>07/27/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Jeff</td>
<td>Hay</td>
<td></td>
<td>Passenger Rail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/27/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>07/27/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Richard</td>
<td>Rouillard</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/27/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>07/27/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Susan</td>
<td>Cook</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/27/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>07/27/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Geri</td>
<td>Lieby</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/28/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>07/28/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Sarnataro</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/28/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>07/28/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Justus</td>
<td>Peacock-Broyles</td>
<td></td>
<td>RTC Bike Usage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/28/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>07/28/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Michelle</td>
<td>Shippen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Draft Rail Study Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Letter Rec'd/Sent</td>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Incoming/Outgoing</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>First</td>
<td>Last</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Subject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/28/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Tom</td>
<td>Graves</td>
<td></td>
<td>PRFS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>07/29/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/28/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Tom</td>
<td>Graves</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trolleys Not Trains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>07/29/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/28/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Pete</td>
<td>Haworth</td>
<td></td>
<td>Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>07/29/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/28/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Andrew</td>
<td>Mckee</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rails and Trains in SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>07/29/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/28/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Kate</td>
<td>Bowland</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rail Trail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>07/29/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/28/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Armstrong</td>
<td></td>
<td>Passenger Rail Feasibility Study Draft Report Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>07/29/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/28/15</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SCCRTC</td>
<td>Evelyn</td>
<td>Bernstein</td>
<td></td>
<td>Train Travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>07/29/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
June 2, 2015

John Presleigh, Director
Department of Public Works, County of Santa Cruz
701 Ocean Street, Room 410
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: County of Santa Cruz’s rail-with-trail grant application

Dear Mr. Presleigh:

On behalf of the Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Advisory Committee, I wish to extend great support for the County’s grant application for a mid-county portion of the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail Network (MBSST) and rail-with-trail project. As the lead agency for the broad 50-mile MBSST project and agency responsible for development of the award-winning MBSST Master Plan, the RTC is working with local jurisdictions and their public works departments to implement segments of the 32-mile rail trail spine. This critical project will be serve large population concentrations and will provide safe access to schools, employment centers, tourist attractions and low-income populations through affordable and active transportation modes.

The Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Advisory Committee serves to assist in the development and maintenance of a complete, convenient and safe regional bicycle and pedestrian network. Such a network increases the opportunity and attractiveness of bicycle and pedestrian trips for transportation purposes and reduces the dependency on automobile travel. With that goal in mind, the RTC seeks to develop facilities that incentivize bicycle travel. This rail trail project will enable bicyclists and pedestrians to travel on a continuous facility that is separated from motor vehicle travel. Separated bike and pedestrian facilities are best suited to serving users of all ages and abilities and provide a comfortable gateway to getting around by bike and foot.

The Committee thanks you for your consideration of this grant proposal. Please feel free to contact the RTC’s Bicycle Program Manager and staff to the Bicycle Advisory Committee, Cory Caletti at (831) 460-3201 or by email at ccaletti@sccrtc.org, for this and any other committee related matters.

Sincerely,

David Casterson
Bicycle Committee Chair

cc: Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Committee
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July 13, 2015

Caltrans District 5
District Local Assistance Engineer Garin Schneider
50 Higuera Street
San Luis Obispo, California 93401

RE: City of Santa Cruz grant application for the Bay Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Project

Dear Mr. Schneider:

On behalf of the Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Advisory Committee, I wish to extend support for the City of Santa Cruz’s grant application for the Bay Street Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety Project. The RTC’s Bicycle Advisory Committee serves to assist in the development and maintenance of a complete, convenient and safe regional bicycle and pedestrian network. Such a network increases the opportunity and attractiveness of bicycle and pedestrian trips for transportation purposes and reduces dependency on automobile travel. This project will fulfill many of the Bicycle Committee and the RTC’s goals including those of increasing active transportation trips and improving roadway conditions to benefit the safety of all users.

The Bay Street corridor is both a primary arterial roadway and a Safe Route to School. There is a high volume of cars, bicyclists, and pedestrians traveling along the corridor throughout the day. As a primary arterial roadway, traffic on the Westside funnels into Bay Street to reach other destinations. This level and variety of travel needs warrant the a protected left turn phase at the Bay Street/King Street traffic signal as proposed, as well as the installation of five additional street lights along Bay Street between Escalona Drive and King Street. The new safety measures proposed in this application are critical to addressing conflicts and collisions between motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians on Bay Street.

The Committee thanks you for your consideration of this highly valuable project. Please feel free to contact the RTC’s Bicycle Program Manager and staff to the Bicycle Advisory Committee, Cory Caletti at (831) 460-3201 or by email at ccaletti@sccrtc.org, for this and any other bicycle related matters.

Sincerely,

David Casterson
Bicycle Committee Chair

cc: Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
   Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Committee
July 29, 2015

Regional Transportation Commissioners
1523 Pacific Ave
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: Recommendation for the development of a Santa Cruz Yacht Harbor Transportation Plan

Dear Regional Transportation Commissioners;

On behalf of your Bicycle Advisory Committee, I wish to request that the RTC considers bicycle circulation deficiencies within and to/from the Santa Cruz Yacht Harbor and initiate the development of a transportation circulation study. A notable gap in the County’s bicycle network exists through the harbor and in the vicinity. Because of the harbor’s regional significance and the multiple public agencies that have jurisdiction over the area, the Committee believes the regional transportation body should bring together the various entities and enhance bicycle and pedestrian access.

The Bicycle Advisory Committee requests that the RTC pursues development of a Santa Cruz Yacht Harbor transportation study specifically identifying bicycle and pedestrian interconnectivity improvements and two-way access to surrounding destinations including Arana Gulch, the City’s bike lanes on Murray Street, the future rail trail, and Twin Lakes State Beach. The committee recommends that the RTC initiate this study as it’s seen as a regional issue that would unify the 3 jurisdictions of the Port District, the City of Santa Cruz and the County of Santa Cruz.

The Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Advisory Committee serves to assist in the development and maintenance of a complete, convenient and safe regional bicycle and pedestrian network. Such a network increases the opportunity and attractiveness of bicycle and pedestrian trips for transportation purposes and reduces the dependency on automobile travel.

The Committee thanks you for your consideration of this request. Please feel free to contact the RTC’s Bicycle Program Manager and staff to the Bicycle Advisory Committee, Cory Caletti at (831) 460-3201 or by email at ccaletti@sccrtc.org, for this and any other committee related matters.

Sincerely,

David Casterson
Bicycle Committee Chair

cc: Lisa Ekers, Santa Cruz Port District
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Committee

S:\Bike\Committee\CORR\BC2015\Harbor letter.docx
July 29, 2015

Regional Transportation Commissioners
1523 Pacific Ave
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: Celebration request for the Bicycle Advisory Committee’s 40th year anniversary

Dear Regional Transportation Commissioners;

Congratulations on your Bicycle Advisory Committee’s 40th year anniversary! On behalf of the Committee, I wish to request that the RTC host an event in celebration of this long-standing committee in order to acknowledge 1) decades of bicycle advocacy and engagement; 2) the RTC’s commitment to improving non-motorized transportation by dedicating staff resources to the Committee; 3) the Committee’s evolution through various governmental departments; 4) long list of accomplishments; 5) former, current and long-standing members who’ve dedicated countless volunteer hours; and 6) past staff.

As you know, the Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Advisory Committee members serve on a strictly volunteer basis. Over a few decades, a yearly acknowledgement event was held around the holiday season for the RTC’s citizen advisory committees and Commissioners. Since that practice has been halted, notable events and milestones seem suitable for acknowledgement of volunteer contributions. The Committee requests that the RTC consider this milestone for acknowledgement.

The Committee thanks you for your consideration of this request. Please feel free to contact the RTC’s Bicycle Program Manager and staff to the Bicycle Advisory Committee, Cory Caletti at (831) 460-3201 or by email at ccaletti@sccrtc.org, for this and any other committee related matters.

Sincerely,

David Casterson
Bicycle Committee Chair

cc: Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Committee
-----Original Message-----
From: Jack Nelson [mailto:nelson333@baymoon.com]
Sent: Friday, May 08, 2015 4:38 PM
To: Karena Pushnik
Subject: Potential traffic outcomes for new lanes on Highway 1

Hello Karena,

As you suggested, to follow up on our conversation outside the RTC meeting yesterday, here's my chief question:

What is the evidence that adding further auxiliary lanes on Highway 1 would result in something like the "congestion relief" indicated on the draft outline of a local sales tax measure?

Did the Traffic Study in the draft Highway 1 EIR provide an analysis of long term traffic outcome looking ahead some number of years, using a particular methodology to get to those conclusions? Lacking that, is there some other study that's not specifically on the Santa Cruz County Highway 1 circumstance that nonetheless gives important, relevant evidence for a favorable outcome?

As I mentioned, I'm reading again through the "Generated Traffic and Incuced Travel" study I gave you a copy of, updated January 27, 2015, by the Victoria Transport Policy Institute (www.vtpi.org). For example, on page 7 in that report, I read that the researcher for one cited study on California state highway capacity concluded "It appears that adding road capacity does little to decrease congestion because of the substantial induced traffic." I wonder if that's somehow not something really important for consideration.

Thank you in advance for your response,

Jack Nelson
831-429-6149

PS: Karena, I appreciate your all-around professionalism, which was apparent again yesterday at the RTC meeting, including filling in on a moment's notice for Grace Blakeslee who was unable to be present.

07/07/15

Jack Nelson –

My apologies for the delay in responding to your question about auxiliary lanes on Highway 1 and congestion. The release of the Draft Passenger Rail Study and related activities, have been extraordinarily time consuming.

As we discussed on the phone, the draft EIR for the Highway 1 project between Santa Cruz and Aptos is scheduled to be available for public circulation in the fall of this year. It will include a full analysis of traffic in the corridor.

In addition, Kim Shultz suggested the following items for your consideration:

1. The Corridor Mobility Improvement Account funding application for the Auxiliary Lane between 41st and Soquel Avenue includes this narrative of projected traffic improvements as calculated by Caltrans for the Soquel/41st segment: “The proposed auxiliary lanes will dramatically increase AM (62 mph) and PM (49 mph) peak hour speeds versus the No-Build scenario in 2035 (16 mph and 16 mph, respectively). Northbound speeds are projected to increase by over 40 percent in the PM peak period. Concomitant air quality benefits are anticipated in the immediate area and along
the corridor by the reduction in congestion." Questions about the findings in this document would need to be directed to Caltrans.

2. The Federal Highway Administration has a FAQs on the subject that addresses the issue generically (Attachment 1).

3. Article by UC Berkeley professor Robert Cervero's overview on Induced Travel (Attachment 2).

4. As a review of the latter documents reveal, "induced travel" as it applies to Santa Cruz could not be measured by travel volumes on Hwy 1 alone as it is hoped that vehicles are redirected back to the highway and off of the local arterials where they have migrated in search of shortcuts. Although the VTPI report was probably comprehensive in its analysis, Metro's support of the Highway 1 HOV Lane project is indicative of the importance of improved travel speeds (on the Hwy as well as the arterials) to attracting choice riders on their system and not just transit dependent riders.

5. Another form of induced travel discussed in the literature from expanded transportation facilities is urban sprawl. However, land use controls in the Santa Cruz County and respective cities have had a restraint effect on local urban sprawl and, some have argued, on the cost of housing. In any case, the RTC is trying to address congestion levels and transportation needs through incremental/affordable means toward long term solutions such as transportation demand management strategies, encouraging higher occupancies in each vehicle, increased transit options and other alternative transportation. Alternatively, the infill and compact development more likely in Santa Cruz County, particularly along established travel corridors, is actually more likely to promote walkable communities and mode choices that are not single person auto trips.

6. Ultimately, the induced travel that is of greatest concern would be those who would be induced to travel in single occupant vehicles rather than walk, bike, car or vanpool or take other forms of transit.

Also, thank you for your complement on RTC staff's professionalism.

Sincerely,

-------------------------------

Karena Pushnik, Senior Planner/Public Information Coordinator
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
Santa Cruz Office (main) 831.460.3210 | Watsonville 831.768.8012
1523 Pacific Avenue | Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Follow our social networks for the latest RTC news
From: wel608@aol.com  [mailto:wel608@aol.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 5:25 PM
To: Karena Pushnik
Subject: flyover idea by Stephen Welch

Dear Karena

Would it be possible for you to give this to your boss? Susana said to give you a copy of this idea so at least people can see the idea in picture form. This is the letter I sent to Public Works Director and the Santa Cruz City Council. I talked with the acting director for awhile and he told me it was a good idea to send this letter to anyone who can help make this a reality even though it might take years for it to happen.

Thank you for helping me.

Stephen Welch

To Mayor Don Lane
and all city council members

To whom it may concern:

My name is Stephen Welch and I have submitted an idea to Caltrans and Chris Schneiter at Public Works with my idea to build a flyover at the end of High St. to Highway 1.

I have been in contact with Caltrans about this idea and they said I need to start at the city level since according to them I need a sponsor. My goal is to eliminate this bottle neck at Mission St and King St. which is my desire and I need help to make this a reality. I really want to make this happen since there has been no viable solution to this university traffic nightmare and I have I think a legitimate solution.

I copied a photo off of Google Earth to show you my idea. The flyover itself seems a better option to what is happening now. At the moment 4 residential streets are impacted greatly by the West Side and University traffic (Bay St., Storey St., King St., and Mission St.) This propose solution would greatly alieve this congestion problem.

University traffic flows from Bay St to Mission St. The other traffic flow is High St. to Storey and then King St. to Mission St. This creates a bottle jam at King St. entrance to Mission St. as both avenues of traffic are trying to get to highway 1.

With a flyover this congestion would be eliminated and a smoother flow of traffic would occur as the West Side traffic and university traffic would enter off of the end of High St. to Highway. I tried to give you an idea of what I am talking about in the below diagram. Since we already have a 3rd lane at the River St. intersection bringing that lane back up to the flyover would give the extra lane needed for the flyover.
Yellow arrow is new way onto Highway 1....Black arrow is how it is now down Storey to King to Mission St. and then Highway 1. As you can see it is a better route to the highway without impacting the neighborhoods or Mission St.

Would I need to get my neighbors together to sign a petition and take it to the Council? As for myself, I have had to put up with over 40 years of this high amount of exhaust fumes from traffic. I live in a residential street, yet I have 3 lanes of traffic heading to Mission St., which is mostly university traffic.

Thank you for your consideration of my idea.

Stephen Welch
208 King St.
Santa Cruz, Ca
95060
831-426-7879

Hello Stephen Welch –

Your email regarding a new structure from High Street connecting to Highway 1 will be made available to the Regional Transportation Commission and the Executive Director for consideration.

For more information about transportation projects and programs, please visit the RTC’s website:  www.sccrtc.org

Thank you for your email and diagram.

Karena Pushnik, Senior Planner/Public Information Coordinator
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
Santa Cruz Office (main) 831.460.3210 | Watsonville 831.768.8012
1523 Pacific Avenue | Santa Cruz, CA 95060

Follow our social networks for the latest RTC news
District Director’s Report
A quarterly publication for our transportation partners

US 101/San Juan Road Interchange Completed

Caltrans and its local transportation partners will celebrate the recent completion of the US 101/San Juan Road interchange on July 17 near the project site. The Transportation Agency for Monterey County (TAMC) and the California Transportation Commission will participate in the event featuring several state, county and local representatives.

The $49 million project removed three major at-grade intersections and constructed a single interchange, converting this segment of US 101 from expressway to freeway. The new facility improves safety and operations by reducing conflicts that cause collisions and delays during peak travel times.

New Grant Cycle Coming


Recycled Water for Landscaping

Construction is nearing completion on the US 101 recycled water project in San Luis Obispo. The $1.8 million project will use recycled water to irrigate about 43 acres of planting along the highway in the city and remove five potable water meters in the landscape area. Other major features include using recycled water for the District Office and Shop 5 landscaping, and carrying the flow to the shop’s wash rack and water truck hydrant. Two other projects are installing smart irrigation controllers in four of the District’s five counties. These reduce water usage, shut off automatically and notify workers of system malfunctions.
Class IV Bikeway Guidance Forthcoming

Caltrans is developing design criteria for Class IV bikeways. A recent summit was held in Sacramento with stakeholders to share ideas and concerns. Class IV bikeways are also referred to as cycle tracks, or separated bikeways, and feature exclusive use for bicycling adjacent to a roadway. Protection from vehicle traffic is provided using various elements such as grade separation, flexible posts, inflexible physical barriers or on-street parking. Assembly Bill 1193 requires Caltrans to establish minimum safety design criteria for these facilities by Jan. 1, 2016. The design criteria:

- Is established cooperatively with local agencies.
- Includes facility design speed, minimum widths and clearances, grade and curvature radius, pavement surface, traffic control devices actuation and more.

Mile Marker Summer Edition Released

The Mile Marker: A Caltrans Performance Report summer edition is now available online. The plain language report addresses how well Caltrans is protecting and improving California’s transportation system. The latest issue features a revamped report card of new performance measures reflecting a dramatic change in Caltrans’ priorities and increased focus on sustainability. Overall, it shows what’s working well, what isn’t, and what needs improving.

More information is available at: http://www.dot.ca.gov/ctjournal/MileMarker/index.html

DSMP Complements Caltrans’ Strategic Plan

The 2015 District 5 System Management Plan (DSMP) is the District’s 20-year vision for managing the state’s transportation system. It features the District Profile, Management Plan and Project List. The first section describes the District’s setting and context, environmental resources and multimodal systems. The second category details goals, objectives and strategies for achieving the department’s new mission, vision and goals. The third section provides the District’s 20 to 25-year list of multimodal improvements identified in state and local planning documents.

The DSMP identifies objectives for meeting Caltrans’ 2015-2020 Strategic Management Plan’s five major goals:

- Safety and health
- Stewardship and efficiency
- Sustainability, livability and economy
- System performance
- Organizational excellence
# Completed Construction Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Construction Timeline</th>
<th>Construction Cost</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Implementing Agency</th>
<th>Project Manager (Resident Engineer)</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hwy. 17 Laurel Curve NB Shoulder Widening (1C1804)</td>
<td>In Santa Cruz County near Scotts Valley from 0.3 Miles North of Glenwood Cutoff to 0.5 Miles South of Glenwood Drive (PM 9.4-10.1)</td>
<td>Shoulder Widening/Soil Nail Wall</td>
<td>August 4, 2014—July 15, 2015</td>
<td>$3 Million</td>
<td>SHOPP</td>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>Steve DiGrazia (BR)</td>
<td>Graniterock Company DBA Pavex Construction Division, San Jose, CA</td>
<td>The project was completed and accepted on July 15, 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hwy. 152 Pavement Maintenance (05-1F8804)</td>
<td>Throughout Watsonville city limits (PM T0.3-R2.0)</td>
<td>Sealcoating and full dig outs at spot locations</td>
<td>May 4-July 6, 2015</td>
<td>$1 Million</td>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>Ken Romero (KB)</td>
<td>VSS International, West Sacramento, CA</td>
<td>Project completed and approved on July 6, 2015.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Construction Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Construction Timeline</th>
<th>Construction Cost</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Implementing Agency</th>
<th>Project Manager (Resident Engineer)</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hwy. 1 North County Pavement Preservation and Rumble Strips (05-1C8604)</td>
<td>Near Santa Cruz from Western Drive to San Mateo C/L (PM 20.2-37.4)</td>
<td>Pavement preservation and install rumble strips</td>
<td>May 13, 2015 – Fall 2015</td>
<td>$10.7 Million</td>
<td>SHOPP</td>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>Doug Hessing (PD)</td>
<td>Granite Construction Company</td>
<td>Paving has begun and project is expected to complete in early December, weather permitting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (Cont’d.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Construction Timeline</th>
<th>Construction Cost</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Implementing Agency</th>
<th>Project Manager (Resident Engineer)</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. Hwy. 9 Pollution Source Control (0Q5904)</td>
<td>In Santa Cruz County at and near Boulder Creek at various locations from 0.9 mile south of Glengarry Rd to 0.2 mile north of Megaffigan Mill Rd (PM 3.7-18.7)</td>
<td>Construct retaining wall &amp; viaduct structure. Replace drainage pipes. Rehab maintenance turnaround.</td>
<td>Winter 2014-Fall 2015</td>
<td>$1.8 Million</td>
<td>SHOPP</td>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>Doug Hessing (KB)</td>
<td>Granite Rock Company, San Jose, CA</td>
<td>Full closure began February 10. The highway will be fully closed until end of September or mid-October at Location 1 (PM 3.7, between Santa Cruz and Felton) for construction of the retaining wall and viaduct due to limited access for staging and equipment. A signed detour route directing traffic to Mount Hermon Road and Highway 17 will be provided for autos. A signed detour route will also be provided for bikes. Work is scheduled to begin at Locations 2-4 the last week of July and should take approximately one month to complete.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PROJECTS IN DEVELOPMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Construction Timeline</th>
<th>Construction Cost</th>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Implementing Agency</th>
<th>Project Manager (Resident Engineer)</th>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. Hwy. 129 Curve Realignment (05-0T540_)</td>
<td>East of Watsonville between 0.4 mile west of Old Chittenden Rd and 0.1 mile east of Chittenden underpass (PM 9.5-10.0)</td>
<td>Curve realignment</td>
<td>Fall, 2015</td>
<td>$5 Million</td>
<td>SHOPP</td>
<td>Caltrans</td>
<td>Doug Hessing (BR)</td>
<td>Granite Rock Company, San Jose, CA</td>
<td>Project awarded on 7/21/15. Most of the roadwork will be done with one-way signal traffic control with about 7-10 days of full closures at the end of the project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The purpose of the Highway 17 Access Management Plan (17 AMP) is to develop access management strategy recommendations to address access, mobility, and safety needs in the SR 17 corridor. These recommendations are intended to help preserve the functional integrity of the corridor as a high-speed, high volume interregional corridor.

**STUDY ROLE**

The strategies and recommendations identified in this plan are intended to directly lead to the Project Initiation phase, which is the first phase in the Caltrans project development process. The strategies will include cost estimate ranges as well as a financial plan for implementation. This plan will allow Caltrans and its transportation planning partners to proactively manage the existing and future access along the corridor. The plan will also be used as an integral tool for promoting environmental and economic sustainability for the communities along the corridor.

**STUDY AREA LIMITS**

The study area limits begin in the city of Scotts Valley at the Granite Creek Road interchange and extend north to the Santa Cruz-Santa Clara county line. This segment of the corridor is 7.1 miles in length. The study area covers the limits of SR 17 within Santa Cruz County designated as a conventional highway, meaning that it operates without control of access. Caltrans' draft future concept for this segment is to maintain the corridor as a conventional highway with strategic access management.

**study process**

System and regional transportation planning analysis and studies precede initiation of studies of a specific transportation improvement project.
**PROJECT TEAM OVERVIEW**

The success of a corridor-wide approach is dependent on partnerships with a variety of groups and local agency partners to develop recommended strategies for SR 17 AMP.

- **Lead Agency:** Caltrans, District 5
- **Key Local Partners:**
  - Santa Cruz County
  - Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC)

**STEERING COMMITTEE**

The Steering Committee is a **high-level leadership group** that provides guidance on the overarching vision and direction of the plan.

- **Caltrans:** Aileen K. Loe, Deputy District Director, District 5
- **Santa Cruz County:** John Leopold, Supervisor, District 1
- **Santa Cruz County:** Bruce McPherson, Supervisor, District 5
- **SCCRTC:** George Dondero, Executive Director

The Steering Committee members have developed a **Study Charter.** The Charter establishes a shared commitment and identifies roles and responsibilities for the project. It also serves as a framework for cooperation and coordination beyond the study to ensure the long-term efficiency of the local and state transportation systems.

**PROJECT DEVELOPMENT TEAM**

The Project Development Team (PDT) is a **staff-level** group of public agencies and Caltrans functional units to provide local and technical expertise, including:

- Caltrans
- California Highway Patrol (CHP)
- County of Santa Cruz
- SCCRTC Transportation Planning
- City of Scotts Valley

**PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDERS**

Success hinges on **robust public and stakeholder involvement** that includes:

- Sourcing of goals and solutions from the community.
- Community-guided decision making.
- Implementation of a state-of-the-art public engagement strategy facilitated by MIG, a planning and public engagement firm, to include multiple platforms of engagement.

**WORK PLAN**

The final concepts and strategy recommendations will be based on the output from three activities: literature review and best practices; existing conditions and access management characteristics; and public outreach and engagement.

**LEGEND**

- Small Scale / Low Cost / Short Term
  - Median barrier, etc.
- Medium Scale / Medium Cost / Interim
  - Driveway Consolidation, etc.
- Large Scale / High Cost / Long Term
  - Grade Separation (Interchange, Overcrossing, Undercrossing)
TO: Regional Transportation Commission

FROM: Cory Caletti and Ginger Dykaar, Senior Transportation Planners

RE: Federal Lands Access Program (FLAP) grant for a north coast rail trail segment of the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail (MBSST) Network

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends that the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) approve the attached resolution (Attachment 1):

1. Authorizing the Executive Director to accept the grant award of $6.3 million in FLAP grant funds from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Central Federal Lands (CFL) Division for design, environmental review and construction of a 5-mile segment of the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail in the north coast; and

2. Committing the RTC to provide an additional $300,000 in matching funds for the 5-mile segment of the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail in the north coast to complete the funding need for the $10,550,000 project; and

3. Authorizing the Executive Director to enter into any necessary agreements to fund and implement the project.

BACKGROUND

At its November 2013 meeting, the RTC adopted a master plan for the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail (MBSST) Network and certified the final environmental impact report. The master plan defines the “coastal rail trail,” a proposed bicycle and pedestrian trail adjacent to the track on the 32-mile Santa Cruz branch rail line right-of-way, as the spine of a broader network of trails that will provide connections to activity centers, coastal access points, and other key destinations. The master plan has since received numerous awards for planning excellence and has been adopted by all the local jurisdictions through which the project traverses.

In December, 2013 the RTC allocated $5.1M to the MBSST to construct the following two rail trail projects: 1) City of Santa Cruz rail trail from Natural Bridges to Pacific Ave ($4M) and 2) City of Watsonville rail trail from Lee Road east to slough trail connection ($1M). Progress is being made on the two projects with
completion of the rail trail segments estimated for 2017-2018. Implementation of more rail trail projects is contingent on securing additional funding.

In January, 2015, the RTC approved pursuit of a California Federal Lands Access Program (FLAP) grant with matching funds from the California Coastal Conservancy and the Land Trust of Santa Cruz County to construct a northern segment of the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail Network (MBSST) that improves access to three federal lands: California Coastal National Monument, Coast Dairies, and Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary. The purpose of the FLAP grant is to provide funds for roadways, bridges, trails, and transit systems that provide access to federal lands. The emphasis of the program is on projects that provide access to high-use recreation sites or are economic generators. The rail trail project was selected as a finalist for grant award in April, 2015.

DISCUSSION

An application was submitted in coordination with the federal Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) to design and construct 4.6 miles of a north coast rail trail segment from the Wilder Ranch parking lot to the Coast Dairies property near Laguna Rd, to make improvements to the parking lot at Laguna Road and to construct 1.7 miles in natural surface trails. The MBSST Master Plan was used for this grant application as a baseline for determining the amount of funds needed to complete this section of the trail.

Short List

In April, 2015, the RTC was notified that the 4.6 mile rail trail portion of the grant application was short listed for approval pending an on-site assessment by the FHWA Central Federal Lands (CFL) Division’s team and consultants. The site visit was held in May over a two day period involving CFL’s project manager, environmental specialists and rail-with-trail experts, as well as staff members from the County Public Works Department, State Parks and the RTC. A scoping report was then developed to fine-tune the project alignment and cost estimates, identify the anticipated level of environmental analysis to be conducted, plan work load division between CFL and RTC staff, and coordinate with key partners such as State Parks and the County of Santa Cruz.

Updated Cost Estimates and Scope

During the scoping process, the RTC learned that if a project is approved for FLAP funds, that project is implemented by FHWA’s CFL team, not by the local agency. This modified the scope to relieve the County of Santa Cruz Public Works Department from the commitment of implementing the project and added the requirement for the RTC to serve as the local project manager. The work required from the RTC includes:
Conducting due diligence activities including public meetings, workshops and hearings; and outreach to key stakeholders;
Presentations and updates to the RTC Board;
Presentation and review of designs to RTC’s advisory committees and a peer review committee;
Coordination between CFL and any involved local agencies and entities, such as the County of Santa Cruz, who likely will assume maintenance of the trail segment, and State Parks;
Ensuring California Environmental Quality Act clearance for the project;
Providing updates on project progress and construction work that may impact the local communities and the Wilder Ranch State Park users;
Development and installation of interpretive elements to promote appreciation of coastal resources and other federally protected lands which formed the basis of the grant goals;
Implementation and administration of agreements involving the FHWA’s CFL Division, the California Coastal Conservancy, California State Parks, County of Santa Cruz and City of Santa Cruz;\nEstablishing, implementing and administering mechanisms to accept private funds from the Land Trust of Santa Cruz County or other private entities and use those funds accordingly for the project; and
Administration of the various local match funding sources to pay invoices including to CFL and to manage retentions.

Through the scoping process the CFL team and consultants walked the entire length of the proposed project limits and beyond to ensure the delivery of a sound project and obtain the best possible cost estimate. The work done by the CFL team and consultants included:

- Fine tuning of environmental and construction cost estimates based on the on-site review and identification of some wetland mitigation needs;
- Extension of the trail 0.4 miles up the coast near Yellowbank Beach, thus increasing the trail length to 5 miles;
- Escalation of costs in the amount of $600,000 due to the anticipated construction schedule beginning in 2018;

The final cost estimate produced by the CFL team and consultants for the project is approximately $10,550,000. This includes all of the design, environmental, public outreach, coordination and construction work.

**Project Funding**

Based on the RTC’s original grant application, and the CFL scoping process and update cost estimates the FLAP grant, the project decision committee approved $6.3 million in FLAP funding for the $10,550,000 million project with the condition that the RTC complete the project funding with additional matching funds. The funding currently available for the project is as follows:
FLAP Grant $6,300,000
Land Trust $3,000,000
Coastal Conservancy $950,000
TOTAL $10,250,000

This leaves a funding need of $300,000. Since the additional matching funds are not needed immediately, the RTC has some time to raise them. The RTC should be able to raise the additional matching funds through local private fund raising efforts and/or competitive funding sources available to the RTC such as the federal Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP).

**Therefore, staff recommends that the RTC approve the attached resolution (Attachment 1) authorizing the Executive Director to accept the grant award of $6.3 million in FLAP funds for the design, environmental review and construction of a 5-mile segment of the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail in the north coast, and committing to $300,000 in additional matching funds for the project.**

**SUMMARY**

Staff recommends that the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) approve a resolution (Attachment 1) committing an increased match of $300,000 for a California Federal Lands Access Program (FLAP) grant that the RTC has been awarded. The grant would fund a north coast rail trail project and will receive approximately $6.3 M pending a commitment from the RTC of additional matching funds. The project will extend an additional 0.4 miles from the length originally proposed in the grant application resulting in a 5-mile project. The project will provide access to the California Coastal National Monument, Coast Dairies, and Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary. The Land Trust of Santa Cruz County and the California Coastal Conservancy are providing matching funds.

**Attachment:**

1.) Resolution to commit $300,000 in additional matching funds towards a Federal Lands Access Program grant and to accept the FLAP grant award
RESOLUTION NO.

Adopted by the Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
on the date of
on the motion of Commissioner
duly seconded by Commissioner

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A COMMITMENT TO PROVIDE $300,000 IN ADDITIONAL MATCHING FUNDS FOR A FEDERAL LANDS ACCESS PROGRAM (FLAP) GRANT FOR A NORTH COAST RAIL TRAIL PROJECT

WHEREAS, the RTC is eligible to receive federal and state funding for construction of transportation facilities;

WHEREAS, the planned Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail Network (MBSST) is a bicycle and pedestrian trail that will promote sustainable transportation and provide an alternative to motor vehicle travel;

WHEREAS, the MBSST’s rail trail segment along the north coast of Santa Cruz County would provide access to three federal lands: California Coastal National Monuments, Coast Dairies and the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary;

WHEREAS, the RTC submitted a Federal Lands Access Program (FLAP) grant application to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) that included a 4.6-mile segment of the MBSST rail trail in the north coast;

WHEREAS, the California Coastal Conservancy approved providing $950,000 in matching funds and the Land Trust of Santa Cruz County has agreed to provide $3,000,000 in matching funds to the grant application;

WHEREAS, the RTC’s FLAP Project Selection Committee has decided to award $6,300,000 in federal funds for a 5-mile segment of the MBSST rail trail in the north coast, a close to half mile extension beyond the requested length, pending a commitment of $300,000 in additional matching funds;

WHEREAS, the additional matching funds are not immediately needed and the RTC should be able to raise the additional matching funds through local private fund raising efforts and/or competitive funding sources available to the RTC such as the Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP);

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SANTA CRUZ COUNTY REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION (RTC):

1. The Executive Director, or his or her designee, is hereby authorized to accept the $6,300,000 FLAP grant award and commit $300,000 in additional matching funds to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Federal Lands Access Program grant for the design, environmental review and construction of a 5-mile north coast rail trail project totaling $10,550,000;
2. The Executive Director, or his or her designee, is authorized to complete any necessary applications and negotiations, and execute necessary agreements with FHWA and any other entities to commit, apply for and/or receive such funds and to execute amendments to those agreements.

AYES: COMMISSIONERS

NOES: COMMISSIONERS

ABSTAIN: COMMISSIONERS

ABSENT: COMMISSIONERS

_____________________
John Leopold, Chair

ATTEST:

_____________________
George Dondero, Secretary
TO: Regional Transportation Commission

FROM: George Dondero, Executive Director

REGARDING: State Legislative Update

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends that the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) receive this report on bills affecting transportation that were introduced in extraordinary legislative session.

BACKGROUND

Each year the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) adopts a legislative program to guide its analysis of state legislative or administrative actions. Working with transportation entities statewide and the Central Coast Coalition, the RTC develops and implements the RTC legislative program, monitoring bills and other actions that could impact transportation in Santa Cruz County.

DISCUSSION

As reported previously, this year there has been significant focus on transportation funding in the California Legislature and the Governor’s office. Billions of dollars in need to maintain the existing transportation system have been highlighted along with the billions in need to improve the system. In addition, as required by the fuel tax swap of 2010, the California State Board of Equalization significantly reduced the excise tax on gasoline earlier this year. This forced the California Transportation Commission (CTC) to recalculate its transportation funds forecast resulting in a reduction of State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) funds to almost zero. Two years ago close to $1.2 billion in new funding was available through the STIP.

A major effort to improve transportation funding has been made by Senator Beall of Santa Clara County. Earlier in the year he introduced Senate Bill (SB) 16 and in extraordinary session Senator Beall reintroduced it as SB X1-1, which now has significant amendments. Attachment 1 includes a comparison of SB 16 and SB X1-1 as of July 14, 2015. The table is provided by CalCOG, the California Association of Councils of Governments. At the July 28th CalCOG meeting, CalCOG voted to continue support of SB X1-1. There was also much discussion over the lack of STIP funding currently and in the active bills now being considered. It was agreed, however, that any transportation funding would be welcome.
SB X1-1 would raise over $4 billion per year for transportation by increasing some taxes and fees and repaying loans to the transportation fund as follows:

1. 12¢/gallon tax increase on gasoline
2. 22¢/gallon tax increase on diesel fuel
3. $35/year vehicle registration fee
4. $100/year fee on zero emissions vehicles
5. $35/year road access fee
6. Loan repayments to the transportation fund over 3 years

The funds raised would be distributed to increase funding for all transportation including, the State Highway Operations and Protection Program (SHOPP), local streets and roads, goods movements, active transportation, and the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). If passed into law the bill would also provide an incentive for self help counties, required inflation adjustments of the excise taxes on fuels every three years and reset the excise tax on gasoline to $17.3 cents per gallon. In addition, the bill would be passed as an urgency item and take effect immediately.

Attachment 1 also includes short descriptions of other bills introduced in extraordinary session that could impact transportation. CalCOG directors voted to support AB X1-2 (Perea) which extends authority to create Public Private Partnerships, and AB X1-7 (Nazarian) which doubles cap and trade appropriations for Transit and Intercity Rail Program to 20% and Low Carbon Transit Program to 10%. As directed by the RTC, staff will continue to communicate to the legislature the importance of improving transportation funding.

SUMMARY

This report provides an overview of state legislative activities. Several bills could generate new revenues or preserve existing funds for important transportation projects in Santa Cruz County, as well as one bill aimed at increasing safety, as shown in Attachment 1.

Attachments:
1. CalCOG Policy Brief on Transportation Funding Update in Extraordinary Legislative Session
I. SB 16 and SBX1-1 (Beall) Transportation Funding

Senator Beall introduced SB 16 earlier this year. CALCOG took a “support” position on the version in print on April 15. Then, SB 16 was re-introduced in the Extraordinary Session as SB 1X-1. Significant new amendments went into print on July 14. See below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SB 16—SB X1-1 COMPARISON TABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Element</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Sources | • 10¢/gal. tax increase on gasoline  
• 12¢/gal increase on diesel fuel  
• $35 vehicle registration fee  
• $100 zero emission vehicle fee  
• Loan repayments over 3 years  
• .65% vehicle license fee increase | • 12¢/gal. tax increase on gasoline  
• 22¢/gal. Increase on diesel fuel  
• $35 vehicle registration fee  
• $100 zero emission vehicle fee  
• Loan repayments over 3 years  
• $35 road access fee |
| Truck Weight Fees | Transferred and backfilled with VLF | Not affected |
| Goods Movement (TCIF) | 2¢/gal. on diesel to TCIF (approx. $50 million/yr.) | 12¢/gal. on diesel to TCIF (approx. $300 million/yr.) |
| Self Help Incentive | 5% off-the top allocation | 5% of the top allocation-ongoing |
| Distribution of Remainder | • 50% to SHOPP  
• 50% to Local Streets & Roads | • 50% to SHOPP  
• 50% to Local Streets & Roads |
| Inflation Adjustment (CPI) | N/A | Excise tax adjusted every three years beginning 2019 |
| Local Streets and Road Fund Flexibility | N/A | “Other transportation priorities” allowed if PMI exceeds 85 |
| STIP | N/A | • Excise tax reset to 17.3¢/gal.  
• CPI applies to all excise tax |
| Active Transportation Eligibility | N/A | Pedestrian and bike safety in conjunction with other projects |
| Caltrans Accountability | CTC allocation required for SHOPP; Department plan to improve efficiency by 30% | CTC allocation required for SHOPP; Department plan to improve efficiency by 30% |
| Local Street and Roads Accountability | CTC estimates fund amount, develop performance criteria | CTC develops performance criteria |
II. Other Key Bills In the First Extraordinary Session

Assembly Bills:

• **AB X1-1 (Alejo). Weight fees.** Returns weight fees to the State Highway Fund; repays outstanding transportation loans over three years.

• **AB X1-2 (Perea) Public Private Partnerships.** Extends P3 authority indefinitely; includes Santa Clara VTA in definition of regional agency.

• **AB X1-3 and ABX1-4 (Frazier, Atkins) Spot language.** Spot bills to establish permanent, sustainable sources of funding for highways, local roads, bridges, etc.

• **AB X1-6 (Hernandez) AHSC program.** Creates a 20% rural set aside in AHSC Program.

• **AB X1-7 (Nazarian). Public transit funding.** Doubles cap and trade appropriation for Transit and Intercity Rail Program (to 20%) and Local Carbon Transit Program (to 10%).

• **AB X1-8 (Chiu & Bloom) Diesel sales tax.** Increases sales and use tax on diesel from 1.75% to 5.25%. These revenues are appropriated by formula to public transit agencies.

Senate Bills:

• **SB X1-1 (Beall) Transportation Funding.** See previous page.

• **SB X1-2 (Huff) GHG reduction fund.** Dedicates cap and trade taxes paid from gasoline production to improving infrastructure, including streets and roads.

• **SB X1-3 (Vidak) HSR Bonds.** Amends HSR bond funding initiative (and requires a general vote) to redirect HSR Bone funds to repair or construct highways and local streets and roads.

• **SB X1-6 (Runner) GHG reduction fund; transportation.** Prohibits use of cap and trade proceeds on HSR and redirects funds to highways, local street and roads, and public transit.

• **SB X1-7 (Allen) Diesel sales tax.** Increases sales and use tax on diesel from 1.75% to 5.25%. These revenues are appropriated by formula to public transit agencies.

• **SB X1-8 (Hill) Public transit funding.** Doubles cap and trade appropriation for Transit and Intercity Rail Program (to 20%) and Local Carbon Transit Program (to 10%).

• **SB X1-9 (Moorlach) Department of Transportation.** Prohibits Caltrans from using temporary funding (e.g., bonds) to support permanent positions; encourages contracting.

• **SB X1-10 (Bates) Regional Capital Improvements.** Converts STIP to block grants based on county shares; eliminates CTC retains some oversight, but programming role discontinued

• **SB X1-11 (Berryhill) CEQA exemption, road improvements.** Exempts road repair and maintenance on existing rights of way from CEQA, including for areas over 100,000.

• **SB X1-12 (Runner) Transportation Commission.** Makes the CTC independent and authorizes CTC to approve the Department's individual repair and maintenance projects.

• **SB X1-13 (Vidak) Inspector General.** Creates an Inspector to assure that Caltrans and HSRA operates efficiently, effectively, and in compliance with federal and state laws.

• **SB X1-14 (Canella) Public Private Partnerships.** Eliminates the sunset provision that allowed RTPAs and Caltrans to enter into PPPs.

Senate Constitutional Amendments:

• **SCA X1-1 (Huff).** Guarantees that transportation taxes are used for transportation purposes.
TO: Regional Transportation Commission

FROM: Luis Pavel Mendez, Deputy Director

REGARDING: Commuter Committee

RECOMMENDATIONS

Staff recommends that the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) receive this report for a possible commuter committee for the RTC.

BACKGROUND

About one year ago, an RTC Commissioner expressed interest in establishing a commuter advisory committee for the RTC. The Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) directed staff to investigate the need, cost and possibility of establishing such a committee.

DISCUSSION

If the RTC were to have an additional advisory committee that meets every two months similar to the Bicycle Advisory Committee and the Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee, it would likely require an additional ¼ time transportation planner position to perform the duties of staffing the committee, including the following:

1. Recruit members, distribute applications, review applications, process applications and keep positions on the committee filled;
2. Prepare agendas and staff reports, produce agenda packets and distribute them through mail and internet;
3. Hold meetings and prepare minutes of the meetings;
4. Follow up on and implement actions of the committee; and
5. Provide information and support for committee members as necessary.

The cost for a ¼ time transportation planner position including benefits and indirect costs would be about $50,000 to $60,000.
In researching commuter advisory committees that exist elsewhere for agencies similar to the RTC, RTC staff found that such committees tend to include membership that represents the pedestrian, bicycling, transit user, elderly, disabled, low income and disenfranchised communities. Some committees also have at large memberships that do not represent any specific group or subset of the community.

Currently the RTC has one commissioner committee, two citizens advisory committees, one technical advisory committee and one task force/traffic operations advisory committee. These are:

1. Bicycle Advisory Committee – a citizens advisory committee to the RTC and its member agencies on bicycle-related issues, policies, plans, programs and projects with membership representing bicyclists throughout the county, Bike to Work and the Community Traffic Safety Coalition.

2. Budget and Administration/Personnel Committee – a committee composed of RTC commissioners to help ensure efficient and effective operations, review and monitor issues relating to the budget, work program, and other administrative functions of the RTC.

3. Elderly and Disabled Transportation Advisory Committee – a citizens advisory committee to the RTC and other agencies in Santa Cruz County on transportation (including transit and pedestrian) issues, policies, plans, programs and projects for the elderly, disabled and persons of limited means populations with membership representing transit users, older populations, disabled, limited means users, service providers and SC Metro.

4. Interagency Technical Advisory Committee – a working committee that advises the RTC on all areas of transportation planning, policy, funding and project implementation with membership representing public works departments, planning departments, SC Metro, Caltrans, California Highway Patrol, Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments, UC Santa Cruz, Cabrillo College, the Air District and Ecology Action Transportation Group.

5. Safe on 17 Task Force and Traffic Operations Advisory Committee – a working group to address traffic operations on highways and collisions, particularly on Highway 17, with membership representing two Caltrans districts, two CHP areas, local law enforcement and fire departments, the RTC, Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the San Francisco Bay Area, legislators’ offices and the media.

It can be challenging to maintain membership on the citizens advisory committees so RTC staff is constantly recruiting. Through the committees listed above the RTC covers the representation typically included in a commuter advisory committee. Therefore, it does not seem necessary to add an advisory committee to the RTC.
SUMMARY

The RTC directed staff to investigate the cost and possibility of establishing a commuter advisory committee. To perform all the associated duties necessary for a new advisory committee would require an additional ¼ time transportation planner at a cost of about $50,000 to $60,000 including benefits and indirect costs. The various existing committees of the RTC include the representation typically found in commuter advisory committee. Therefore, it does not seem necessary to add an advisory committee to the RTC.