1. Call to Order

2. Introductions

3. Announcements – RTC staff

4. Oral communications – members and public

   The Committee will receive oral communications during this time on items not on today’s agenda. Presentations must be within the jurisdiction of the Committee, and may be limited in time at the discretion of the Chair. Committee members will not take action or respond immediately to any Oral Communications presented, but may choose to follow up at a later time, either individually, or on a subsequent Committee agenda.

5. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas

   CONSENT AGENDA

   All items appearing on the consent agenda are considered to be minor or non-controversial and will be acted upon in one motion if no member of the Committee or public wishes an item be removed and discussed on the regular agenda. Members of the Committee may raise questions, seek clarification or add directions to Consent Agenda items without removing the item from the Consent Agenda as long as no other committee member objects to the change.

6. Accept draft minutes of the June 8, 2015 Bicycle Committee meeting (pages 3-5)

7. Accept summary of Bicycle Hazard reports (page 6-7)

8. Accept letter from the Bicycle Advisory Committee to Caltrans in support of the City of Santa Cruz’s Bay Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Project grant application (page 8)
9. Accept letter from the Bicycle Advisory Committee to the RTC requesting that the RTC develop a Santa Cruz Yacht Harbor Transportation Plan (page 9)

10. Accept letter from the Bicycle Advisory Committee to the RTC requesting a celebratory event honoring the Committee’s 40th year anniversary (page 10)

REGULAR AGENDA

11. City of Santa Cruz’s Active Transportation Plan development – Claire Fliesler, City of Santa Cruz Transportation Planner (page 11-15)

12. Watsonville Transit Center redesign – Erich R. Friedrich, Santa Cruz METRO Senior Transportation Planner (slides from July community meeting: pages 16-44)

13. Member updates related to Committee functions

14. Adjourn

NEXT MEETING: The next Bicycle Committee meeting is scheduled for Monday, October 19, 2015 from 6:00pm to 8:30pm at the RTC office, 1523 Pacific Ave, Santa Cruz, CA.

HOW TO REACH US
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
1523 Pacific Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA 95060
phone: (831) 460-3200 / fax (831) 460-3215
e-mail: info@sccrtc.org / website: www.sccrtc.org

AGENDAS ONLINE
To receive email notification when the Bicycle Committee meeting agenda packets are posted on our website, please call (831) 460-3201 or email ccaletti@sccrtc.org to subscribe.

ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES
The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission does not discriminate on the basis of disability and no person shall, by reason of a disability, be denied the benefits of its services, programs, or activities. This meeting location is an accessible facility. If you wish to attend this meeting and require special assistance in order to participate, please contact RTC staff at 460-3200 (CRS 800/735-2929) at least three working days in advance of this meeting to make arrangements. People with disabilities may request a copy of the agenda in an alternative format. As a courtesy to those person affected, Please attend the meeting smoke and scent-free.

SERVICIOS DE TRADUCCIÓN/TRANSLATION SERVICES
Si gusta estar presente o participar en esta junta de la Comisión Regional de Transporte del condado de Santa Cruz y necesita información o servicios de traducción al español por favor llame por lo menos con tres días laborables de anticipo al (831) 460-3200 para hacer los arreglos necesarios. (Spanish language translation is available on an as needed basis. Please make advance arrangements (at least three days in advance by calling (831) 460-3200.

TITLE VI NOTICE TO BENEFICIARIES
The RTC operates its programs and services without regard to race, color and national origin in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act. Any person believing to have been aggrieved by the RTC under Title VI may file a complaint with RTC by contacting the RTC at (831) 460-3212 or 1523 Pacific Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA, 95060 or online at www.sccrtc.org. A complaint may also be filed directly with the Federal Transit Administration to the Office of Civil Rights, Attention: Title VI Program Coordinator, East Building, 5th Floor-TCR, 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, Washington, DC 20590.
1. Call to Order: 6 pm

2. Introductions

**Members Present:**
Kem Akol, District 1  
Peter Scott, District 3  
Will Menchine, District 3 (Alt.)  
Amelia Conlen, District 4  
Bill Fieberling, City of Santa Cruz  
Andy Ward, City of Capitola  
Daniel Kostelec, City of Capitola (Alt.)  
Leo Jed, CTSC, Vice-Chair  
Emily Glanville, Ecology Action/Bike to Work

**Unexcused Absences:**
Lex Rau, City of Scotts Valley

**Excused Absences:**
Gary Milburn, City of Scotts Valley (Alt.)  
Holly Tyler, District 1 (Alt.)  
David Casterson, District 2, Chair  
Jim Cook, District 2 (Alt.)  
Rick Hyman, District 5  
Melissa Ott, City of Santa Cruz  
Myrna Sherman, City of Watsonville  
Piet Canin, Ecology Action/Bike-to-Work (Alt.)  
Jim Langley, CTSC (Alt.)

**Staff:**
Cory Caletti, Sr Transportation Planner  
Rachel Moriconi, Sr Transportation Planner  
Tegan Speiser, Sr Transportation Planner  
Nathan Luedtke, Transportation Technician

3. Announcements – Cory Caletti provided an update on the RTC’s application to the Federal Lands Access Program for a north coast rail trail project; the adopted Santa Cruz County Bike Route Signage Program and Active Transportation Program (ATP) grant application; another recent award of excellence for the Monterey Bay Sanctuary Scenic Trail (MBSST) Network Master Plan; the County’s TIGER and ATP grant applications for a Live Oak rail trail project; and Watsonville’s ATP grant application to complete the City of Watsonville’s rail trail project.
4. Oral communications – Amelia Conlen reported that the City of Capitola will be considering allocating Capital Improvement Program funding for green bike lanes at three interchanges. A request was made to agendize a future discussion on green lane treatments.

5. Additions or deletions to consent and regular agendas – None. Comments included acknowledgements of Rick Hyman’s well crafted comments on the Unified Corridor Plan and Ecology Action’s Bike Smart! South Santa Cruz County Youth Safety Training. A request was made for staff to inquire further into a hazard report that was submitted on 4/18/15 by Piet Canin.

CONSENT AGENDA

A motion (Scott/Conlen) to approve the consent agenda passed unanimously with members Akol, Scott, Conlen, Fieberling, Ward, Jed and Glanville voting in favor. No votes were cast in opposition.

6. Accepted draft minutes of the April 13, 2015 Bicycle Advisory Committee meeting

7. Accepted summary of Bicycle Hazard reports

8. Accepted Bicycle Advisory Committee roster

9. Accepted Comments on the Unified Corridors Plan submitted by Committee member Rick Hyman

10. Accepted Ecology Action’s Bike Smart! South Santa Cruz County Youth Safety Training Final Report

11. Accepted letter from the Bicycle Advisory Committee to Caltrans in support of the City of Watsonville’s Active Transportation Program grant application for the Walker Street rail trail project

12. Accepted letter from the Bicycle Advisory Committee to Caltrans in support of the City of Santa Cruz’s Active Transportation Program grant application for the Branciforte Creek bicycle and pedestrian bridge project

13. Accepted letter from the Bicycle Advisory Committee to Caltrans in support of the RTC’s Active Transportation Program grant application for the Santa Cruz County Bike Route Signage Program

14. Accepted letter from the Bicycle Advisory Committee in support of the County of Santa Cruz’s TIGER grant application for the Live Oak rail trail project

REGULAR AGENDA

15. Cruz511 Traveler Information Service – A presentation was provided by Nathan Luedtke, RTC staff with the assistance of Tegan Speiser, RTC Senior Transportation Planner, on the launch of the Cruz511 traveler information service and available resources. Members commended staff and provided feedback on possible improvements for mobile devices, content reduction and easier user interface.

16. Draft Passenger Rail Feasibility Study - Rachel Moriconi, RTC Senior Transportation Planner, provided a presentation on the draft study, asked for feedback and indicated that the public comment period has been extended to July 31st, 2015. Bike related priorities include bike access on trains; bike parking at stations including racks, lockers and possibly bike cages; and concerns
regarding possible negative impacts to implementation of the rail trail project. Members also had questions regarding ridership numbers and requested that staff bring a report to a future meeting summarizing public comments received and the subsequent changes made to the plan as a result, as well as additional info on how ridership projections were derived.

17. Santa Cruz Harbor and vicinity destinations- Ad-hoc committee member Kem Akol (on behalf of the committee comprised of himself, Scott, Fieberling and Menchine) provided a summary of concerns related to intermittent bike access within the Santa Cruz Harbor area and presented recommendations. After some discussion, a motion was made (Akol/Scott) to request that the RTC pursue development of a Santa Cruz Yacht Harbor transportation study specifically identifying bicycle and pedestrian interconnectivity improvements and two-way access to surrounding destinations including Arana Gulch, the City’s bike lanes on Murray Street, the future rail trail, and Twin Lakes State Beach. The ad-hoc committee recommended that the RTC initiate this study as it’s seen as a regional issue that would unify the 3 jurisdictions of the Port District, the City of Santa Cruz and the County of Santa Cruz. The motion passed unanimously with members Akol, Scott, Conlen, Fieberling, Ward, Jed and Glanville voting in favor. No votes were cast in opposition.

18. Member updates related to Committee functions – Various updates on minor projects were provided. A discussion was held regarding the Bicycle Advisory Committee’s 40th anniversary and its evolution through various governmental departments and many accomplishments. A motion was made (Akol/Ward) to request that the RTC host an event in celebration of this long-standing committee in order to acknowledge 1) decades of bicycle advocacy and engagement, 2) the RTC’s commitment to improving non-motorized transportation by dedicating staff resources to the Committee, 3) long list of accomplishments, 4) past staff and, 5) long-standing members who’ve dedicated countless volunteer hours. The motion passed unanimously with members Akol, Scott, Conlen, Fieberling, Ward, Jed and Glanville voting in favor. No votes were cast in opposition.

19. Adjourned – 8:30 p.m.

NEXT MEETING: The next Bicycle Committee meeting is scheduled for Monday, August 10, 2015, from 6:00 pm to 8:30 pm at the RTC office, 1523 Pacific Ave, Santa Cruz, CA.

Minutes respectfully prepared and submitted by:

Cory Caletti, Senior Transportation Planner
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Last Name</th>
<th>Contact Info</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Cross Street</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Additional Comments</th>
<th>Forwarded To</th>
<th>Forwarded Date</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Images</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07/15/15</td>
<td>Piet</td>
<td>Canin</td>
<td><a href="mailto:pietcanin@gmail.com">pietcanin@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>Soquel Ave</td>
<td>Ocean St</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>not supplied</td>
<td>rider states deep pothole is inches away from bike lane of travel on busy intersection.</td>
<td>Cheryl Schmitt</td>
<td>08/03/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/26/15</td>
<td>John</td>
<td>Armstrong</td>
<td><a href="mailto:armstrong1@comcast.com">armstrong1@comcast.com</a></td>
<td>West Hilton Dr</td>
<td>McPherson Ct</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>not supplied</td>
<td>rider states open semi-hole forming near edge of roadway, adjacent to center which runs under road.</td>
<td>General Dept of Co of SC</td>
<td>07/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/13/15</td>
<td>not supplied</td>
<td>not supplied</td>
<td>not supplied</td>
<td>Graham Hill Rd</td>
<td>Tanglewood Tr</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>rider states acacia trees/brushes along graham hill rd near tanglewood trail growing into lane blocking view along blind curve in uphill direction.</td>
<td>General Dept of Co of SC</td>
<td>07/25/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/22/15</td>
<td>David</td>
<td>Cheekuk</td>
<td>(510) 415-3139</td>
<td>Ocean St</td>
<td>Shaffer Rd</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>not supplied</td>
<td>rider states two different tree branches hanging down into bike lane could easily knock rider off while speeding down very steep hill.</td>
<td>Cheryl Schmitt</td>
<td>07/23/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/16/15</td>
<td>Saladin</td>
<td>Sale</td>
<td><a href="mailto:susan@ucsc.edu">susan@ucsc.edu</a></td>
<td>Western Dr</td>
<td>Hieder</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>not supplied</td>
<td>rider states street pavement has been excavated from a 4’ x 5’ rectangle across full width of bike lane for likely property owner repairs and only a light dusting of lose asphalt tossed on top of lose dirt to patch missing pavement. Patch is now totally degrading. street has been damaged and bicyclists may be forced out of bike lane to avoid damaged section. Pavement needs to be properly patched.</td>
<td>Cheryl Schmitt</td>
<td>07/16/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/15/15</td>
<td>Christy</td>
<td>Martin</td>
<td><a href="mailto:cmartin@cs.csub.edu">cmartin@cs.csub.edu</a></td>
<td>San Lorenzo River</td>
<td>Levy Trail</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>not supplied</td>
<td>rider states safety issue on the path due to transient blocking path. On recent commute to scotts valley I was unable to pass at multiple points on trail. I had to ask a group of 3 people to please move so I could get onto the trail to laurel bridge. I then ran into groups of people blocking the trail @ 2 other points before there and end of trail near hoy.</td>
<td>Cheryl Schmitt</td>
<td>07/13/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/13/15</td>
<td>Todd</td>
<td>Earhart</td>
<td>(310) 419-3421</td>
<td>Poplar</td>
<td>Hammond Ave</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>not supplied</td>
<td>rider states that there is an orange mt. bike missing front wheel locked to a stop sign for about a week on corner of poplar and hammond ave across from 940 middle school.</td>
<td>Cheryl Schmitt</td>
<td>07/13/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/01/15</td>
<td>Patty</td>
<td>Victorino</td>
<td><a href="mailto:victorino47@liveglobal.net">victorino47@liveglobal.net</a></td>
<td>Webster St</td>
<td>15th Ave</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>plant overgrowth or interference</td>
<td>rider states safety issue on the path due to transient blocking path. On recent commute to scotts valley I was unable to pass at multiple points on trail. I had to ask a group of 3 people to please move so I could get onto the trail to laurel bridge. I then ran into groups of people blocking the trail @ 2 other points before there and end of trail near hoy.</td>
<td>General Dept of Co of SC</td>
<td>07/02/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/15</td>
<td>Peter</td>
<td>Stagner</td>
<td><a href="mailto:psl@palohub.com">psl@palohub.com</a></td>
<td>Clubhouse Dr</td>
<td>Ros Del Mar</td>
<td>Aptos</td>
<td>plant overgrowth or interference</td>
<td>rider states cyclist must swerve into motor vehicle lane to avoid vegetation</td>
<td>General Dept of Co of SC</td>
<td>07/01/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/30/15</td>
<td>Ryan</td>
<td>Backer</td>
<td><a href="mailto:rbacker52@gmail.com">rbacker52@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>Broadway</td>
<td>Riverside St</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Bikeway not clearly marked</td>
<td>rider states corner takes place on an intersection where the bike lane vanishes disappears. Causes the majority of drivers to incorrectly judge the lane width and mark them as crossovers into unmarked bike lanes.</td>
<td>Cheryl Schmitt</td>
<td>06/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>Contact Info</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Cross Street</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Additional Comments</td>
<td>Forwarded To</td>
<td>Forwarded Date</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Images</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/17/15</td>
<td>Aaron</td>
<td>Worthy</td>
<td><a href="mailto:aworthy@ecoact.org">aworthy@ecoact.org</a></td>
<td>Soquel Dr</td>
<td>NB Hwy 1 Onramp</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>no crosswalk or striping</td>
<td>rider states commutes daily on soquel dr from wrinkle to downtown sd. have had several near misses with autos who speed up on soquel dr and cut over and across bike commuter to access hwy 1 nb.</td>
<td>General Dist of Co of SC</td>
<td>06/17/15</td>
<td>From Melissa - Public Works is preparing to submit an encroachment permit application to Caltrans to install green bike lanes at this intersection. We hope to have them installed by October this year.</td>
<td>06/17/15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
July 13, 2015

Caltrans District 5
District Local Assistance Engineer Garin Schneider
50 Higuera Street
San Luis Obispo, California 93401

RE: City of Santa Cruz grant application for the Bay Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Project

Dear Mr. Schneider:

On behalf of the Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Advisory Committee, I wish to extend support for the City of Santa Cruz’s grant application for the Bay Street Bicycle/Pedestrian Safety Project. The RTC’s Bicycle Advisory Committee serves to assist in the development and maintenance of a complete, convenient and safe regional bicycle and pedestrian network. Such a network increases the opportunity and attractiveness of bicycle and pedestrian trips for transportation purposes and reduces dependency on automobile travel. This project will fulfill many of the Bicycle Committee and the RTC’s goals including those of increasing active transportation trips and improving roadway conditions to benefit the safety of all users.

The Bay Street corridor is both a primary arterial roadway and a Safe Route to School. There is a high volume of cars, bicyclists, and pedestrians traveling along the corridor throughout the day. As a primary arterial roadway, traffic on the Westside funnels into Bay Street to reach other destinations. This level and variety of travel needs warrant the a protected left turn phase at the Bay Street/King Street traffic signal as proposed, as well as the installation of five additional street lights along Bay Street between Escalona Drive and King Street. The new safety measures proposed in this application are critical to addressing conflicts and collisions between motorists, bicyclists, and pedestrians on Bay Street.

The Committee thanks you for your consideration of this highly valuable project. Please feel free to contact the RTC’s Bicycle Program Manager and staff to the Bicycle Advisory Committee, Cory Caletti at (831) 460-3201 or by email at ccaletti@sccrtc.org, for this and any other bicycle related matters.

Sincerely,

David Casterson
Bicycle Committee Chair

cc: Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Committee
July 29, 2015

Regional Transportation Commissioners
1523 Pacific Ave
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: Recommendation for the development of a Santa Cruz Yacht Harbor Transportation Plan

Dear Regional Transportation Commissioners;

On behalf of your Bicycle Advisory Committee, I wish to request that the RTC considers bicycle circulation deficiencies within and to/from the Santa Cruz Yacht Harbor and initiate the development of a transportation circulation study. A notable gap in the County’s bicycle network exists through the harbor and in the vicinity. Because of the harbor’s regional significance and the multiple public agencies that have jurisdiction over the area, the Committee believes the regional transportation body should bring together the various entities and enhance bicycle and pedestrian access.

The Bicycle Advisory Committee requests that the RTC pursues development of a Santa Cruz Yacht Harbor transportation study specifically identifying bicycle and pedestrian interconnectivity improvements and two-way access to surrounding destinations including Arana Gulch, the City’s bike lanes on Murray Street, the future rail trail, and Twin Lakes State Beach. The committee recommends that the RTC initiate this study as it’s seen as a regional issue that would unify the 3 jurisdictions of the Port District, the City of Santa Cruz and the County of Santa Cruz.

The Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Advisory Committee serves to assist in the development and maintenance of a complete, convenient and safe regional bicycle and pedestrian network. Such a network increases the opportunity and attractiveness of bicycle and pedestrian trips for transportation purposes and reduces the dependency on automobile travel.

The Committee thanks you for your consideration of this request. Please feel free to contact the RTC’s Bicycle Program Manager and staff to the Bicycle Advisory Committee, Cory Caletti at (831) 460-3201 or by email at ccaletti@sccrtc.org, for this and any other committee related matters.

Sincerely,

David Casterson
Bicycle Committee Chair

cc: Lisa Ekers, Santa Cruz Port District
Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Committee
July 29, 2015

Regional Transportation Commissioners
1523 Pacific Ave
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

RE: Celebration request for the Bicycle Advisory Committee’s 40th year anniversary

Dear Regional Transportation Commissioners;

Congratulations on your Bicycle Advisory Committee’s 40th year anniversary! On behalf of the Committee, I wish to request that the RTC host an event in celebration of this long-standing committee in order to acknowledge 1) decades of bicycle advocacy and engagement; 2) the RTC’s commitment to improving non-motorized transportation by dedicating staff resources to the Committee; 3) the Committee’s evolution through various governmental departments; 4) long list of accomplishments; 5) former, current and long-standing members who’ve dedicated countless volunteer hours; and 6) past staff.

As you know, the Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Advisory Committee members serve on a strictly volunteer basis. Over a few decades, a yearly acknowledgement event was held around the holiday season for the RTC’s citizen advisory committees and Commissioners. Since that practice has been halted, notable events and milestones seem suitable for acknowledgement of volunteer contributions. The Committee requests that the RTC consider this milestone for acknowledgement.

The Committee thanks you for your consideration of this request. Please feel free to contact the RTC’s Bicycle Program Manager and staff to the Bicycle Advisory Committee, Cory Caletti at (831) 460-3201 or by email at ccaletti@sccrtc.org, for this and any other committee related matters.

Sincerely,

David Casterson
Bicycle Committee Chair

cc: Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission’s Bicycle Committee
BACKGROUND: On April 14, 2015, the City Council approved development of an Active Transportation Plan (ATP) on the recommendation of the Transportation and Public Works Commission (TPWC). Previously, the City of Santa Cruz has had separate Bicycle and Pedestrian Plans, and there was a requirement of having an updated Bicycle Plan to obtain funding from certain sources. With recent changes in state legislation, there is no longer a requirement to have an updated Bicycle Plan and there is now guidance on the content of an Active Transportation Plan.

While an ATP is currently optional, the Active Transportation Plan elements align with the current funding program, include and expand on the requirements of the Bicycle Transportation Plan, and can serve as a tool to implement components of the City’s General Plan and Climate Action Plan.

DISCUSSION: The City last updated the Bike Plan in 2008 and the Pedestrian Plan in 2003. While both of these documents serve as useful guides for planning and implementation of alternative transportation infrastructure and policy, they are in need of being updated. Neither of these plans are required to obtain funding. With the new Active Transportation Plan guidance issued by Caltrans, the City will be developing their first ever Active Transportation Plan. Caltrans ATP Guidelines are provided in Attachment A.

An Active Transportation Plan allows the planning process to consider the needs of non-motorized users at the same time and to identify a set of local improvements that will encourage more people to walk and bicycle. While the programmatic needs of cyclists and pedestrians can be different, many factors are the same, such as safety, connectivity, ease of use, and human scale wayfinding. The Active Transportation Plan will help to achieve stated General Plan and Climate Action Plan goals by prioritizing a list of projects and identifying funding to close gaps in the bicycle and pedestrian network and make Santa Cruz an easier place to move about using active modes.
The first round of public outreach is scheduled for August and September 2015. This outreach process will be combined with the outreach for the Corridor Plan Planning and Zoning Update to reach a wider audience and garner greater participation. A flyer advertising the workshops is provided in **Attachment B**. As part of the outreach plan, a stakeholder group of active transportation users and advocates will meet regularly to provide input on specific components of the plan.

Staff anticipates the following schedule to facilitate the Plan update:

- **March-May 2015**: Data Collection and Review
- **May-June 2015**: Existing Conditions Analysis
- **July-September 2015**: Stakeholder and Community Engagement Round 1
- **November-February 2016**: Stakeholder and Community Engagement Round 2
- **March 2016**: Present findings and draft Plan to TPWC
- **May 2016**: Present final Plan to TPWC
- **August 2016**: Present final plan to City Council

**ATTACHMENTS:**
- Attachment A: Active Transportation Plan Guidelines
- Attachment B: Workshop Flyer
Active Transportation Plan Requirements

An ATP plan prepared by a city or county may be integrated into the circulation element of its general plan or a separate plan which is compliant or will be brought into compliance with the Complete Streets Act, AB 1358 (Chapter 657, Statutes of 2008).

An active transportation plan must include, but not be limited to, the following components or explain why the component is not applicable:

a) The estimated number of existing bicycle trips and pedestrian trips in the plan area, both in absolute numbers and as a percentage of all trips, and the estimated increase in the number of bicycle trips and pedestrian trips resulting from implementation of the plan.
b) The number and location of collisions, serious injuries, and fatalities suffered by bicyclists and pedestrians in the plan area, both in absolute numbers and as a percentage of all collisions and injuries, and a goal for collision, serious injury, and fatality reduction after implementation of the plan.
c) A map and description of existing and proposed land use and settlement patterns which must include, but not be limited to, locations of residential neighborhoods, schools, shopping centers, public buildings, major employment centers, and other destinations.
d) A map and description of existing and proposed bicycle transportation facilities. Including a description of how the five Es (Education, Encouragement, Enforcement, Engineering, and Evaluation) will be used to increase rates of bicycling to school.
e) A map and description of existing and proposed end-of-trip bicycle parking facilities.
f) A description of existing and proposed policies related to bicycle parking in public locations, private parking garages and parking lots and in new commercial and residential developments.
g) A map and description of existing and proposed bicycle transport and parking facilities for connections with and use of other transportation modes. These must include, but not be limited to, bicycle parking facilities at transit stops, rail and transit terminals, ferry docks and landings, park and ride lots, and provisions for transporting bicyclists and bicycles on transit or rail vehicles or ferry vessels.
h) A map and description of existing and proposed pedestrian facilities, including those at major transit hubs and those that serve public and private schools and, if appropriate, a description of how the five Es (Education, Encouragement, Enforcement, Engineering, and Evaluation) will be used to increase rates of walking to school. Major transit hubs must include, but are not limited to, rail and transit terminals, and ferry docks and landings.
i) A description of proposed signage providing way-finding along bicycle and pedestrian networks to designated destinations.
j) A description of the policies and procedures for maintaining existing and proposed bicycle and pedestrian facilities, including, but not limited to, the maintenance of smooth pavement, ADA level surfaces, freedom from encroaching vegetation, maintenance of traffic control devices including striping and other pavement markings, and lighting.
k) A description of bicycle and pedestrian safety, education, and encouragement programs conducted in the area included within the plan, efforts by the law enforcement agency having primary traffic law enforcement responsibility in the area to enforce provisions of the law impacting bicycle and pedestrian safety, and the resulting effect on collisions involving bicyclists and pedestrians.
l) A description of the extent of community involvement in development of the plan, including disadvantaged and underserved communities.

m) A description of how the active transportation plan has been coordinated with neighboring jurisdictions, including school districts within the plan area, and is consistent with other local or regional transportation, air quality, or energy conservation.

n) A description of the projects and programs proposed in the plan and a listing of their prioritizations for implementation, including the methodology for project prioritization and a proposed timeline for implementation.

o) A description of past expenditures for bicycle and pedestrian facilities and programs, and future financial needs for projects and programs that improve safety and convenience for bicyclists and pedestrians in the plan area. Include anticipated revenue sources and potential grant funding for bicycle and pedestrian uses.

p) A description of steps necessary to implement the plan and the reporting process that will be used to keep the adopting agency and community informed of the progress being made in implementing the plan.

q) A resolution showing adoption of the plan by the city, county or district. If the active transportation plan was prepared by a county transportation commission, regional transportation planning agency, MPO, school district or transit district, the plan should indicate the support via resolution of the city(s) or county(s) in which the proposed facilities would be located.

Source: Caltrans Local Assistance Program Guidelines: Chapter 22.
What’s your vision for the City’s corridors and active transportation?

Join us at a workshop to...

- Share your ideas for how to enhance the commercial, residential, and transportation experience of Mission, Ocean, Water, and Soquel
- Citywide bike and pedestrian planning

August 22
1 – 4 p.m.
Louden Nelson
Community Center
301 Center Street

September 9
5:30 – 7:30 p.m.
Bayview Elementary School
1231 Bay Street

September 15
5:30 – 7:30 p.m.
Branciforte Small Schools
840 N Branciforte Avenue

September 22
5:30 – 7:30 p.m.
Gault Elementary
1320 Seabright Avenue

For more information, visit our project website: www.SantaCruzCorridors.com or www.cityofsantacruz.com/activetransportation
AGENDA

Welcome & Introduction
Where we are in the process
Summary of Outreach
Existing Site & Condition
Proposed Project
  • Concept 1
  • Concept 2
  • Concept 3
Next Steps
Questions & Answers
Fly-through Animation
### Watsonville Transit Center Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>Feb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Project Startup</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Evaluation of Transit Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Transit Center Operating Requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Traffic Study and Transit Lane Recommendations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Expansion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Feasibility Study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. First Community Outreach Meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board Presentation of Results and Alternates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Refine Sketch Plans to Produce Alternative Designs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Conduct Second Community Outreach Meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Produce General Scope of Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A total of 72 surveys were completed in English and Spanish and turned in by the October 31 deadline. The survey focuses on three specific categories:

A  Community's preference for future transit station
B  Architecture style
C  Programs
1. Left turns out of transit center are prohibited for safety
2. Wide turn for inbound buses
3. Jaywalking locations
4. No public kiss-and-ride
5. Indirect pedestrian path
6. Crosswalk and bus traffic is not well controlled
7. Services driveway goes against flow of buses
8. Blind corner
9. Multiple areas of low visibility
10. Low visibility crosswalk
11. Capacity of 8 buses may limit future services expansion
12. No public, police, or service vehicle parking
13. Short intersection spacing; queues block bus exit
14. Rodriguez St narrows north of West Lake Ave
15. Existing building does not properly handle the function of a transit center.
Enhanced with LED embedded light
mid-block crossing
Continuous pedestrian pathway
Signage with directional arrows
Real time signage
Island furniture
Landscaping
Roof with added skylight
Bike Lockers
Pedestrian crossing light
Graphic wall
Additional bus capacity
New police and staff parking
New community room
New kiss and ride
New terminal
**SITE IMPROVEMENT DESIGN PROPOSAL – Signage**

1. **Enhanced with LED embedded light mid-block crossing**

   **Goal:**
   Increase visual presence to slow down vehicular traffic during evening.
   
   **Proposed area:**

2. **Continuous pedestrian pathway**

   **Goal:**
   Enhance safety for pedestrian and slow down in-coming traffic

   **Proposed areas:**
SITE IMPROVEMENT DESIGN PROPOSAL – Signage and Way-finding

3. **Signage with directional arrows**

   **Goal:**
   Providing helpful navigation information on time and direction

   **Proposed locations:**

4. **Real time signage**

   **Goal:**
   Informing transportation users the updated schedule of buses

   **Proposed locations:**
5 **Landscape**

**Goal:**
Make atmosphere more pleasant by breaking down the scale

**Proposed locations:**

---

6 **Island furniture**

**Goal:**
Enhance the comfort level for transportation users

**Proposed locations:**
Roof with added skylight

Goal:
Maximize shading areas and reducing direct sunlight

Proposed areas:

Bike lockers

Goal:
Enhance security and safety of transportation users' personal belongings

Proposed locations:
**Pedestrian Crossing light**

**Goal:**
Giving crossing priorities to pedestrians and active transportation users. Time signals to minimize conflicts between pedestrians and vehicles.

**Proposed locations:**

**Graphic art wall**

**Goal:**
Provide pleasant division wall between the Watsonville bus station and neighborhood.

**Proposed locations:**
SOLAR PANELS PROVIDE POWER TO STATION

ROOF DESIGNED TO SHADE BUILDINGS AND EXTERIOR SPACES

NATURAL DAYLIGHT OPENINGS: LIGHT TO BE CONTROLLED AT ROOF

SKYLIGHT ARE NORTH FACING TO PROVIDE LIGHT AND VENTILATION BUT BLOCK SUMMER SUN

ROOF IS DESIGNED WITH NOTCHES FOR VENTILATIONS FOR BUS EMISSIONS

LOW PLANTING
LOW MAINTENANCE

LOW LEVEL
NATURAL VENTILATION

SHADE WAITING AREAS FOR IN-TRANSIT WAITING WITH A WIDER COMFORT RANGE

DRAWING COOLER AIR FROM LOW AND EXHAUSTING HOT AIR HIGH

BIKE TRANSIT LOCKERS AND OTHER FEATURES THAT HIGHLIGHT T.O.D

Bike Committee - August 10, 2015: Page 27
Second Level Floor Plan

- Storage - 135 ft²
- Restroom - 145 ft²
- Convenience Store - 256 ft²
- Driver lounge - 310 ft²
- Community Room - 1,345 ft²
- Bike Lockers - 10 each
WATSONVILLE TRANSIT CENTER | CONCEPT 3

Station on Middle Island, Community Room on Rodriguez

Third Level Floor Plan

295'-4"

RODRIGUEZ STREET

220'-4"

- Community room - 800 ft²
- Restroom - 114 ft²
- Security - 180 ft²
- Storage - 170 ft²
- Drive lounge - 270 ft²

Bike Committee August 10, 2015: Page 39
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Task</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 17(^{th}), 2015 (2pm-7pm)</td>
<td>Public Outreach at the Watsonville Farmers Markets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 31(^{st}), 2015</td>
<td>Produce General Scope of Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 30(^{th}), 2015</td>
<td>Final Report of Conceptual Design and Final Design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Watsonville Transit Center - Public Survey
Santa Cruz METRO needs your input! Your comments will be used to help refine design concepts for the Watsonville Transit Center that will include access and pedestrian improvements as part of the redesigned transit center.

Please rank each of the three conceptual options in order, from the one you like best to the one you like least. Include any general comments you have for each design.

Option 1.
Rank #_____ Comments: ...
________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
Option 2.
Rank #_____ Comments: ...
________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
Option 3.
Rank #_____ Comments: ...
________________________________________________________________________________________________________________________
Centro de Tránsito de Watsonville - Encuesta Pública

1. Viendo a su opción favorita, por favor clasifique lo que le gusta o no le gusta (5 - le gusta mucho, 1- no le gusta tanto).

Rango
_____ Organización y ubicación de la estación
_____ Ubicación de espacio de Comunidad
_____ Sensación de apertura y seguridad
_____ Cantidad de sombra y cobertura
_____ Presencia en la calle
_____ Número de quiscos y ubicación
_____ Ubicación de baños
_____ Casilleros de bicicleta/Cantidad y ubicación
_____ Bancos/Cantidad y ubicación
_____ Paisajismo

2. Viendo a su segunda opción, por favor clasifique lo que le gusta o no le gusta (5 - le gusta mucho, 1- no le gusta tanto) y mencione cualquier comentario en como mejorar lo que no le gusta:

Rango
_____ Organización y ubicación de la estación
_____ Ubicación de espacio de Comunidad
_____ Sensación de apertura y seguridad
_____ Cantidad de sombra y cobertura
_____ Presencia en la calle
_____ Número de quiscos y ubicación
_____ Ubicación de baños:
_____ Casilleros de bicicleta/Cantidad y ubicación
_____ Bancos/Cantidad y ubicación, Paisajismo

Watsonville Transit Center - Public Survey

1. Looking at your favorite option, please rate what you like or don’t like (5 - like it a lot, 1 - don’t like as much).

Rating
_____ Organization and placement of Station
_____ Placement of Community Room
_____ Feeling of openness and safety
_____ Amount of shade coverage
_____ Street presence
_____ Number of kiosks and location
_____ Restroom location
_____ Bike Parking/Sharing amount and location
_____ Benches/seating locations and number
_____ Landscaping

2. Looking at your second option, please rate what you like or don’t like (5 - like it a lot, 1 - don’t like as much) and provide additional comments to improve what you don’t like:

Rating
_____ Organization and placement of Station
_____ Placement of Community Room
_____ Feeling of openness and safety
_____ Amount of shade coverage
_____ Street presence
_____ Number of kiosks and location
_____ Restroom location
_____ Bike Parking/Sharing amount and location
_____ Benches/seating locations and number
_____ Landscaping
3. Looking at your third option, please rate what you like or don't like (5 - like it a lot, 1 - don't like as much)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Organization and placement of Station</th>
<th>Placement of Community Room</th>
<th>Feeling of openness and safety</th>
<th>Amount of shade coverage</th>
<th>Street presence</th>
<th>Number of kiosks and location</th>
<th>Restroom location</th>
<th>Bike Parking/Sharing amount and location</th>
<th>Benches/seating locations and number</th>
<th>Landscaping</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>_____</td>
<td>_______</td>
<td>__________________________</td>
<td>___________________________</td>
<td>________________________</td>
<td>_____________</td>
<td>___________________________</td>
<td>_______________</td>
<td>___________________________</td>
<td>_______________________________</td>
<td>__________</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Provide any additional comments you have to improve the new transit center here and on back:

Thank you for completing this survey!

Additional comments:
Thank You